Detector system and simulation of the 155 MeV Hydro-Møller polarimeter at MESA



Michail Kravchenko*

PhD student, AG Berger
PRISMA+ Cluster of Excellence/
Institute for Nuclear Physics,
Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz

*On behalf of the team:

M. Kravchenko[1,2], N. Berger[1,2], V. Tyukin[1],
K. Aulenbacher[1], R. Beminiwattha[3], K. Kumar[4]

[1]Institute for Nuclear Physics,
Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz (JGU)
[2]PRISMA+ Cluster of Excellence, JGU
[3]Louisiana Tech University
[4]University of Massachusetts, Amherst

MESA-Polarimeter Workshop, 15.06.2023
Helmholtz Institute Mainz, Mainz

Hydro-Møller polarimeter: target

- **Target**:
  - L_T = 30 cm
  - ρ_T = 3.0 x 10^{15} cm^{-3}
- **non-destructive**
  => **online measurement**
- Atomic **magnet trap** and **superfluid thin He film** for **suppressing recombination**

P_{target} = 1 - ε,
with ε ~ 10^{-5} @ $B_{Solenoid}$ = 8.0 T

Atomic Hydrogen target



Courtesy of V. Tyukin (KPH, JGU), V. Fimushkin and R. Kusaykin (JINR, Dubna)

Hydro-Møller polarimeter: Chicane based design



Hydro-Møller polarimeter: general issues

- Building of the H gas target is not available as initially planned due to the global unexpected and unavoidable circumstances
- As a result, Iron solid target option is being considering as a substitution at the beginning
- Chicane design won't be suitable + has some unpleasant effects (will be discussed later)

=> **Polarimeter design needs to be reconsidered**

Hydro-Møller polarimeter: Quadrupole based design



Geant4 simulation: magnets

Solenoid:

- Biot-Savart summation approach: thin air core solenoid formed by current loops











Geant4 simulation: magnets

Quadrupole:

- Analytical solution (from Wolfram Mathematica) for magnetic field components of an air core quadrupole formed by a set of pairs of loops with opposite currents













Geant4 simulation: magnets

Dipole:

- Analytical solution (from Wolfram Mathematica) for magnetic field components of an air core dipole formed by a set of pairs of loops with opposite currents
- Biot-Savart summation approach is possible, but more complicated











Geant4 simulation: global total magnetic field map



Reduced z-range for visualization of the Quad and Dipole fields

Geant4 simulation: model

Particle generators (original + PRad*):

- Moller (original + PRad*):

  only $\vec{e^-} + \vec{e^-} \rightarrow e^- + e^-$ => signal

- Elastic e^--p (Mott; **PRad* only**):

  only $\vec{e^-} + Z \rightarrow e^-$ => background

***code of generators was kindly provided by PRAD collaboration** (based on Eur. Phys. J. A 51(2015)1)*
GitHub repository:
https://github.com/JeffersonLab/PRadSim

Geant4 model



Geant4 simulation: model

Simulation parameters:

- E_{beam} = 155 MeV
- Target length:
  - **H: 30 cm**
  - **Fe: 20 µm**
- Beam current = 150 µA = 10^{15} e^-/s (***Hydrogen target***)
- $B_{solenoid}$ = 8 T
- Moller generator:
  - $E_{electrons} \in$ [75, 80] MeV
- E-p generator:
  - $\theta_{scat} \in$ [0.01, 90] deg





Geant4 simulation: PRad generators

- E_{beam} = 155 MeV => E_{Moller_symm} = 77.5 MeV

Moller PRad generator: $E_{Moller} \in$ [75, 80] MeV



Moller PRad generator: $E_{Moller} \in$ ~[13, 142] MeV



Geant4 simulation: PRad generators

- E_{beam} = 155 MeV

Mott (ep) PRad generator: $E_{Moller} \in$ [75, 80] MeV



Geant4 simulation: current results

Point-like target



Solenoid:
- l = 40 cm
- r = 5 cm
- B = 8 T
- n_loops = 100

Quad:
- r = 10 cm
- l = 20 cm
- G = 5 T/m
- n_loops = 20 (pairs)

Dipole:
- r = 20 cm
- l = 40 cm
- B = -0.5 T
- n_loops = 30

Geant4 simulation: effect of the Dipole fringe field





The most likely cause:

- Fringe field of the Dipole

Geant4 simulation: effect of the Dipole fringe field

Dipole only



Sol + Quad only



Geant4 simulation: solenoid alignment





Example

Beam displacement (= solenoid misalignment):

- **Δx = Δy ~ 1 *mm, @ 8T**
- Beam deflection at Quad entrance: **Δx = Δy ~ 1 *cm**

Geant4 simulation: Moller event rate

E = 155 Mev	Z = 1	curr = 150 uA		
Moller generators (rate, Hz)				
	Moller_VT (Mathematica)	Moller_PRad (elastic)	Moller_PRad (elastic + rad)	Moller_init
th_lab: ~4.67...4.63 deg (~77.5MeV)	1.24E+02	1.10E+02	-	1.26E+02
th_lab: ~4.48...4.78 deg (75-80 MeV)	3.99E+04	3.53E+04	2.98E+04	4.01E+04
th_lab: ~3.68...5.81 deg (60-95 MeV)	2.92E+05	2.87E+05	2.36E+05	2.94E+05

E = 155 Mev	Z = 26	curr = 150 uA ← *for benchmarking evaluation*		
Moller generators (rate, Hz)				
	Moller_VT (Mathematica)	Moller_PRad (elastic)	Moller_PRad (elastic + rad)	Moller_init
th_lab: ~4.67...4.63 deg (~77.5MeV)	6.13E+06	5.42E+06	-	6.21E+06
th_lab: ~4.48...4.78 deg (75-80 MeV)	1.97E+09	1.74E+09	1.48E+09	1.98E+09
th_lab: ~3.68...5.81 deg (60-95 MeV)	1.44E+10	1.42E+10	1.17E+10	1.45E+10

Geant4 simulation: Mott event rate issues

E = 155 Mev	Z = 1	curr = 150 uA		
Mott (ep) generators (rate, Hz)				
	Mott_VT (Mathematica)	**Mott_PRad (elastic)**	**Mott_PRad (elastic + rad)**	***Mott_PRad (elastic + rad) + energy cut***
~0.1-75 deg (0.07-155 MeV)	2.96E+08	-	7.36E+13	3.68e+10 (75-80MeV)
th_lab: ~4.67...4.63 deg	5.53E+01	4.70E+01	4.45E+03	-
th_lab: ~4.48...4.78 deg	1.78E+04	1.52E+04	1.44E+06	-
th_lab: ~3.68...5.81 deg	1.31E+05	1.54E+05	1.85E+07	-

Moller 75..80 MeV rate: 2.98E+04

E = 155 Mev	Z = 26	curr = 150 uA ← *for benchmarking evaluation*		
Mott (ep) generators (rate, Hz)				
	Mott_VT (Mathematica)	**Mott_PRad (elastic)**	**Mott_PRad (elastic + rad)**	***Mott_PRad (elastic + rad) + energy cut***
~0.1-75 deg (0.07-155 MeV)	3.80E+14	-	9.39E+19	*1.04e+17 (75-80MeV)*
th_lab: ~4.67...4.63 deg	7.09E+07	6.02E+07	5.77E+09	-
th_lab: ~4.48...4.78 deg	2.28E+10	1.90E+10	2.78E+12	-
th_lab: ~3.68...5.81 deg	1.68E+11	1.99E+11	2.34E+13	-

Moller 75..80 MeV rate: 1.48E+09

Geant4 simulation: current results

Chicane design

Only **Moller** events,
H target





Long target + solenoid effect

Double-arm design



Moller events w/o rad part,
Fe target



Geant4 simulation: current results

Chicane design



Only **Moller** events,
H target





Double-arm design



Geant4 simulation: current results





Only **Moller** events,
H target





Geant4 simulation: current results

Moller events (**signal**)

EP events (**background**)

Chicane design:





S/B: ~~0.1~~

overlapping



Double-arm design:





S/B: ~~5~~



Geant4 simulation: current results

Only **Moller** events,
H target

Vertex position (z) for symmetric Mollers (77.5 MeV)

Chicane option:







"Double-arm option:







Summary

- Developed framework for the simulation of the Moller polarimeter with optional designs and different type of targets
- Verified and benchmarked Moller generators (including one from the PRad collaboration)
- Implemented realistic field maps for all types of the magnetic elements that are used in the simulation -> no non-physical discontinuities
- Current goal: to build a spectrometer that can utilize Iron target for low beam current polarimetry with an option to install H gas target later on
- Further steps:
  - Fixing and benchmarking evaluation of the Mott generator
  - Further simulation for detailed comparison of the design options
  - Optimizations for magnetic elements (positions and specs, etc.) and detector design





Thank you for your attention!
Questions/comments?

Backup

Mainz Energy-Recovery Superconducting Accelerator (MESA)



First beam is planned for 2024

Beam:

- Highly polarized (≥85%)
- Current: 150 μA = 10^{15} e^-/s
- L ≈ $2.4 \cdot 10^{39}$ $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$
- Energy: 155 MeV
- Flip helicity @ 1 kHz

Additional requirement:

- Beam polarization: $\Delta P_b/P_b \leq 0.5\%$

Goal: $\frac{\Delta \sin^2\theta_W}{\sin^2\theta_W} \sim 0.14\%$

Issue: beam polarization could vary up to 10% during the run

→ need for an online polarimetry

Mainz Energy-Recovery Superconducting Accelerator (MESA)

Polarimetry techniques

Method	Physics	Pros	Cons
Mott	$\vec{e^-} + Z \rightarrow e^-$	Rapid, precise	Solid target => destructive
Compton	$\vec{e^-} + \vec{\gamma} \rightarrow e^-$	Non-destructive	Suitable only for high E_{beam}
Møller	$\vec{e^-} + \vec{e^-} \rightarrow e^- + e^-$	Rapid, precise	Solid target + concept for a low-density gaseous target

Mainz Energy-Recovery Superconducting Accelerator (MESA)

Polarimetry techniques

Method	Physics	Pros	Cons
Mott	$\vec{e^-} + Z \rightarrow e^-$	Rapid, precise	Solid target => destructive
Compton	$\vec{e^-} + \vec{\gamma} \rightarrow e^-$	Non-destructive	Suitable only for high E_{beam}
Møller	$\vec{e^-} + \vec{e^-} \rightarrow e^- + e^-$	Rapid, precise	Solid target + concept for a **low-density gaseous target**

Atomic Hydrogen target (proposal by E. Chudakov and V. Luppov*):

- Non-destructive → online measurement;
- Suitable for low-energies (E_{beam} = 155 MeV)
- Overall accuracy: $\Delta P \leq 0.14\%$
- Max analyzing power @ $\Theta^{CM} = 90^o$ ($E_{Møller} = 0.5*E_{beam}$ = 77.5 MeV)
- Pioneering technology → technical challenges to solved

*E. Chudakov, V. Luppov IEEE, V. 51, 2004; E. Chudakov, Nuovo Cim, V. C35, 2012

Polarimetry chain @ MESA

MAMI and MESA photo cathodes



- $I_{MAMI} \sim 100.0\,\mu A$
- $E_{MAMI} \sim 180.0 - 1500.0\,MeV$,
- $P_{MAMI} \sim 85\,\%$
- 7 days/24 hours

- MAMI & MESA use super lattice photo cathodes SVT Associates
- Beam polarization could vary up to 10% during run
- Red line - a new photo cathode
- Black line - a good used cathode

Main problem for P2 => online polarimeter

Polarimetry techniques

Issue: beam polarization could vary up to 10% during the run

need for an online polarimetry

Polarimetry techniques

Method	Physics	Pros	Cons
Mott	$\vec{e^-} + Z \rightarrow e^-$	Rapid, precise	Solid target => destructive
Compton	$\vec{e^-} + \vec{\gamma} \rightarrow e^-$	Non-destructive	Suitable only for high E_{beam}
Møller	$\vec{e^-} + \vec{e^-} \rightarrow e^- + e^-$	Rapid, precise	Solid target + concept for a **low-density gaseous target**

Atomic Hydrogen target (proposal by E. Chudakov and V. Luppov*):

- Non-destructive → online measurement;
- Suitable for low-energies (E_{beam} = 155 MeV)
- Overall accuracy: $\Delta P \leq 0.14\%$
- Pioneering technology → technical challenges to solved

*E. Chudakov, V. Luppov IEEE, V. 51, 2004; E. Chudakov, Nuovo Cim, V. C35, 2012

Hydro-Moller polarimeter: effect of the long target

Effect of solenoid magnetic field and long target





V. Tyukin, KPH