Results and prospects from the NA62 experiment at CERN

19/10/2023







M. Piccini

On behalf of the NA62 collaboration MENU2023 - Mainz - Germany

Experiment



NA62 Collaboration consists of ~200 participants from 33 institutions: Almaty, Birmingham, Bratislava, Bristol, Bucharest, CERN, Dubna, Fairfax, Ferrara, Florence, Glasgo Histard Karlonalester, Lausanne, Liverpool, LNF, Louvain, Mainz, Marseille, Merced, Moscow, Munich, Naples, Perugia, Pisa, Prague, Protvino, Rome I, Rome II, San Luis Potosi, SLAC, Turin, TRIUMF, Vancouver UBC



Perugia



Main goal: measurement of BR(K⁺ $\rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$)

■ NA62 Run1(2016 + 2017 + 2018) result [JHEP 06 (2021) 093]: * $BR(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) = (10.6^{+4.0}_{-3.4stat.} \pm 0.9_{syst.}) \times 10^{-11}(3.4\sigma \text{ significance})$

19/10/2023

N: 52 Perugia The beam ۲ [m] Final CHOD STRAW collimator LAV MUV1,2 Iron 1 RICH GTK Target KTAG SAC CHANTI Vacuum 0 SPS proton beam HASC RICH -1 Dump IRC Fiducial decay region JINST 12 P05025 (2017) LKr -2 **Dipole magnet** 100 150 200 250 0 Z [m] **Decay region: SPS Proton beam: Secondary beam:** 400 GeV/c protons impinging 75 GeV/c momentum, 1% bite 75 m long fiducial region on the beryllium target

- $3.3 \cdot 10^{12}$ proton/spill
- ~4 s effective spill length
- ~ 10^{18} POT/year

- 100 mrad divergence (RMS)
- $60 \times 30 \text{ mm}^2$ transverse size
- 70% pions, 24% protons, 6% kaons
- Rate: 750 MHz at GTK3

- Decaying kaons: ~13%
- Kaon decays rate: ~ 6 MHz.
- Vacuum ~ $O(10^{-6})$ mbar



- KTAG: Cherenkov threshold counter
- GTK: Si pixel beam tracker
- CHANTI: ring stations of scintillator slabs
- LAV: lead glass ring calorimeters
- STRAW: straw magnetic spectrometer
- RICH: Ring Imaging Cherenkov counter
- MUV0: off-acceptance plane of scintillators
- NA48CHOD: 2 planes of scintillator slabs

- NEWCHOD: one plane of scintillator pads
- IRC: inner ring shashlik calorimeter
- LKr: electromagnetic calorimeter filled with liquid krypton
- MUV1,2: hadron calorimeter
- MUV3: plane of scintillator pads for muon veto
- HASC: near beam lead–scintillator calorimeter
- SAC: small angle shashlik calorimeter

19/10/2023



ie experiment



ng for physics completed (Run 1): 2016-2018

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

- New Hadron Sampling Calorimeter (HASC) at small angle
- New scintillator veto detector at the beginning of fiducial region (ANTI0)
- New SiPMs in the CHANTI Detector
- Improvements on trigger and readout systems
- Run 2: data taking restarted in 2021 until 2025 (end of LHC run 3)

→ 3 years of new data already collected!

NA62 is not only $\pi\nu\nu$, extensive physics program:

- Precision measurements on charged Kaon decays
- Measurements and search of rare charged Kaon decays
- Search for forbidden charged kaon decays
- Search for new particles in charged kaon decays
- Search for exotic particles produced at the target

s $K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{0}e^{+}\nu\gamma$ $K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}e^{+}e^{-}e^{+}e^{-}$ $K^{+} \rightarrow \mu^{-}\nu e^{+}e^{+}$



19/10/2023

Dui

NA62 INFN Measurement of the BR and T-violation parameter in the radiative decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu \gamma$

[JHEP 09 (2023) 040]

Perugia



Divergent amplitude for $E_\gamma \to 0$ and $\Theta_{e,\gamma} \to 0$ due to the IB contribution

$$R_j = \frac{Br(K_{e3}\gamma^j)}{Br(K_{e3})} = \frac{Br(K^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu \gamma | E^j_{\gamma}, \theta^j_{e,\gamma})}{Br(K^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu)}$$



EPJC 50 (2007) 557-571

	E_{γ} cut (*)	$\Theta_{e,\gamma}$ cut (*)	O(p ⁶) ChPT	ISTRA+	OKA
R_1 (x10 ²)	$E_{\gamma} > 10 \text{ MeV}$	$\Theta_{\rm e,\gamma} > 10^{\circ}$	1.804 ± 0.021	$1.81 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.07$	$1.990 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.021$
R_2 (x10 ²)	$E_{\gamma} > 30 \text{ MeV}$	$\Theta_{e,\gamma} > 20^{\circ}$	0.640 ± 0.008	$0.63 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03$	$0.587 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.015$
R ₃ (x10 ²)	$E_{\gamma} > 10 \text{ MeV}$	$0.6 < \cos{(\Theta_{e,\gamma})} > 0.9$	0.559 ± 0.006	$0.47 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03$	$0.532 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.012$
					(*) in the kaon rest frame

Most recent theoretical calculations PAN 74 (2011) 1214-1222

 $R_2 = (0.54 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-2}$

19/10/2023





 π^0

EPI C 48, 427-440 (2006)

• T-odd observable ξ (in the kaon rest frame)

$$\xi = \frac{\vec{p_{\gamma}} \cdot (\vec{p_e} \times \vec{p_{\pi}})}{m_K^3}; A_{\xi} = \frac{N_+ - N_-}{N_+ + N_-}$$

- Non-zero Aξ values due to NLO (one-loop) EM corrections
 - → SM expectations at the level of [10⁻⁵-10⁻⁴] for A_{ξ}

State of the art:

		S_1	S_2	S_3
A_{ξ}^{OKA}	$\times 10^3$	$-0.1 \pm 3.9_{\rm stat} \pm 1.7_{\rm syst}$	$-4.4 \pm 7.9_{\rm stat} \pm 1.9_{\rm syst}$	$7.0 \pm 8.1_{\mathrm{stat}} \pm 1.5_{\mathrm{syst}}$

 K^+



- Full 2017 and 2018 data sets are analyzed
- Signal(K_{e3}γ) and normalization (K_{e3}) channels share most of the selection criteria (except the radiative photon)
 - ★ First order cancellation of systematic effects
- Trigger efficiencies measured on data: the same between signal and normalization to 1 per mill

Signal selection:

- ***** Relies on excellent e^+ and K^+ PID + track association to reconstruct the decay vertex
- $\star \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ tagging: calorimetric selection with cuts on the di-photon invariant mass
- Radiative γ: isolated Lkr cluster away from the extrapolated electron to suppress bremsstrahlung photons
- **Kinematic selection**: using two main observables

$$m_{miss}^{2}(K_{e3}\gamma) = (P_{K} - P_{e} - P_{\pi^{0}} - P_{\gamma})^{2} = m^{2}(\nu)$$
$$m_{miss}^{2}(K_{e3}) = (P_{K} - P_{e} - P_{\pi^{0}})^{2} = m^{2}(\nu\gamma)$$

19/10/2023



19/10/2023

MENU2023 - Mainz

10

Perugia Error budged for R_j measurements: esults

	$\delta R_1/R_1$	$\delta R_2/R_2$	$\delta R_3/R_3$
Statistical Istituto Nazionale 0.3%		0.4%	0.5%
Limited MC sample size	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%
Background estimation	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
LKr response modelling	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%
Photon veto correction	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
Theoretical model	0.1%	0.5%	0.1%
Total systematic	0.6%	0.9%	0.7%
Total	0.7%	1.0%	0.8%

Results for R:

 $R_1 \times 10^2 = 1.715 \pm 0.005_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.010_{\text{syst}} = 1.715 \pm 0.011$ $R_2 \times 10^2 = 0.609 \pm 0.003_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.006_{\text{syst}} = 0.609 \pm 0.006$

 $R_3 \times 10^2 = 0.533 \pm 0.003_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.004_{\text{syst}} = 0.533 \pm 0.004$

Results for A_{ξ} (×10²):

S_1	S_2	S_3
$-1.2 \pm 2.8_{\rm stat} \pm 1.9_{\rm syst}$	$-3.4\pm4.3_{\rm stat}\pm3.0_{\rm syst}$	$-9.1 \pm 5.1_{\rm stat} \pm 3.5_{\rm syst}$



- The main systematic uncertainty comes from the modelling of the electromagnetic calorimeter (LKr) response to low energy photons
- NA62 measurements of Rj smaller than $O(p^6)$ ChPT by 5% relative (disagreement: 3 std deviations)
 - Improvement on experimental precision of R_i measurements by a factor > 2
 - Improvement on A_{ξ} error with respect to the previous experimental results



[Physics Letters B 846 (2023) 138193]





- If $m_a = 17$ MeV, $BR(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ aa) > 2 \times 10^{-8}$ is predicted
- possibility for a conclusive test of QCD axion explanation for the "17 MeV" anomaly [Phys.Rev.D103(2021)055018, Eur.Phys.J.C83(2023)230]
- $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ e^+ e^- e^+ e^-$: heavily suppressed SM process (outside the π^0 pole)
 - $BR^{SM}_{LO}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi 4e, \text{ non resonant}) = (7.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-11}$ [Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) L071301]



- Search on data collected in 2017 and 2018
- $K^+_{flux} = (8.58 \pm 0.19_{stat} \pm 0.07_{MC} \pm 0.41_{ext}) \times 10^{11}$ measured using $K_{H}^{stituto Nazionale}$
- Events with 5-track vertex Q_{ToT}=+1

Perugia

- Total momentum consistent with K⁺ beam one
- Invariant mass $m_{\pi 4e}$ used as discriminant variable and blind analysis strategy
- Expected background and signal acc. from MC
- Backgrounds from 5 & 7 tracks K⁺ decays
- **Backgrounds from 3-tracks** K^+ **decays** + a $K_{3\pi}$ in time
- Observed events in Signal Region = 0
- Expected bkg events in SR = (0.18 ± 0.14)



$BR(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ e^+ e^- e^+ e^-, \text{ non resonant}) \le 1.4 \times 10^{-8} @ 90\% \text{ CL}$

limit is O(200) larger w.r.t. SM expectations

and axion limits



- Same $K\pi 4e$ selection + 2 identical m_{e+e-} masses

Perugia

Da

- Use the same signal region as $K\pi 4e$: Exp. bkg events in SR = 0 Observed events in SR = 0
- Whole NA62 Run1 data set. Dark scalar/Axion mass scan in step of 5 MeV/c^2 .





 10^{-8} S 200 150 100 50 60 20 40 80 100 120 140 160 A' mass [MeV/c²]

Exclude the QCD axion as a solution for the X17 anomaly. From Theory: $BR(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ aa) > 2 \times 10^{-8}$ @ $m_a = 17 MeV/c^2$

 $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ aa$ with prompt $a \rightarrow e^+ e^-$





[Physics Letters B 838 (2023) 137679]







- **400 GeV SPS protons** absorbed by K12 TAX copper collimators: **Target + dump**
- A' produced by proton strahlung or meson decays
- A' decays in the decay region if γ ct is big enough ($\gamma > 1000$)
- Lepton pairs (e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$) are detected by NA62 exp.
- Total of **1.4x10**¹⁷ protons on dump collected in **2021** (10 days of special run)

Perugia n and decay **INFP**Nuction proceeds via p-bremsstrahlung or mesons decays Proton-bremsstrahlung [Phys. Lett. B 731 (2014) 320]: $\gamma^* p \to A' p'$, io Nazionale Meson decays: (a) $pN \to MX$, where $M = \pi^0, \eta^{(\prime)}, \rho, \omega, \phi$, $M \rightarrow \gamma A'$ for $M = \pi^0, \eta^{(\prime)};$ $M \rightarrow \pi^0 A'$ for $M = \eta', \rho, \omega, \phi;$ $M \rightarrow \eta A'$ for $M = \rho, \omega, \phi$. A' decays to leptons pairs for $M_{A'} < 500 \text{ MeV}$ 1.00 F $V \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ (dashed) 0.50 $V \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ (dotted) Dominant decays at NA62 are: 0.20 $V \rightarrow$ hadrons (solid) 0.10 \blacktriangleright A' \rightarrow e⁺e⁻ (100% for M_{A'}<210 MeV) 0.05 $V \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ (dotted- \blacktriangleright A' $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ (only if M_{A'}>210 MeV) dashed) 0.02 0.01 [Phys. Review D 79, 115008 (2009)] 0.1 0.2 0.5 1.0 2.0 5.0 10.0

$$m_V$$
 (GeV)

19/10/2023

earch technique



Event selection: Track quality, timing coincidence, PID with calorimeter and muon detector

No Photons and no in-time activity in Large Angle Vetos

Perugia

Decay Vertex materisica Muchee ay point P_{CDA} compatible with beam extrapolation

Closest Distance of Approach (CDA) between the dark photon line of flight and the proton beam direction at the TAX entrance.

Blind analysis with control regions used for MC background estimate validation





- Open Signal and Control Regions:
 0 events in CRtituto Veriente in SR, black dot di Fisica Nucleare
- Probability to observe SM event in SR is only 1.6%
- However, event on tail end of SR and the Δt between the tracks is 2σ away from zero
- Invariant mass of event was 411 MeV
- Observed event could be interpreted as combinatorial background fluctuation





Improved 90% CL limit on DP in the mass range 215 MeV/c² <M_{A'}<550 MeV/c²

e⁺e⁻ channel



• Access to the mass region $M_{A'} < 210 \text{ MeV/c}^2$

Perugia

- Signal and control regions redefined (different kinematics) Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare
- **PID** changed to select electrons, **Veto** on in-time activity in the muon detector (MUV3)
- Same blind analysis technique as in $A' \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ (expected SM background < 0.06 events)
- No events found in the SR after unblinding!





- NA62 dump mode results for 2-leptons decays improve 90% CL limit on DP in the mass range 20 MeV/c² <M_{A'}<550 MeV/c²
- Analysis on additional final states $\gamma\gamma$, $\pi \pi \gamma$, $\mu\pi$... ongoing on 2021 data set

19/10/2023





- - New limits on the search of dark photons and QCD axions from the non resonant decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ e^+ e^- e^+ e^-$
 - First search of the LF/LN violation decay $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^- \nu e^+ e^+$
- Meanwhile, after important hardware upgrades installed during LS2 (2019-2020), the data taking restarted in 2021 for Run 2 (2021-2025) and new results, based on these data, are incoming:
 - New limits on dark photon search from 2021 beam dump run:

 $\begin{array}{cc} \blacktriangleright & A' \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \\ \blacktriangleright & A' \rightarrow & e^+ e^- \end{array}$

Stay tuned! (new results, new proposal – HIKE)

19/10/2023





SPARES



- **FCNC** loop processes: $s \rightarrow d$ coupling and highest CKM suppression
- Theoretically clean: Short distance contribution
- Hadronic matrix element measured with K₁₃ decays (per mill precision)
- **SM predictions:** Buras. et. al., JHEP11(2015)033 **BR**(K⁺ $\rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu$) = (0.84 ± 0.10)×10⁻¹⁰

New SM calculations: Buras, Venturini (arXiv:2109.11032): $(0.860 \pm 0.042) \times 10^{-10}$ Brod, Gorbahn, Stamou (*PoS* BEAUTY2020 (2021) 056): $(0.773 \pm 0.061) \times 10^{-10}$





Im [Blanke, Buras, Duling, Gemmler, Gori, JHEP 0903 (2009) 108]

tak, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A29 (2014) no.27],[Isidori et al. JHEP 0608 (2006) 064] Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare uras, Buttazzo,Knegjens, JHEP11(2015)166]

- Littlest Higgs with T-parity [Blanke, Buras, Recksiegel, Eur.Phys.J. C76 (2016) 182]
- LFU violation models [Isidori et al., Eur. Phys. J. C (2017) 77: 618]
- Leptoquarks [S. Fajfer, N. Košnik, L. Vale Silva, arXiv:1802.00786v1 (2018)]
- MFV analyses [S. Descotes-Genon, S.Fajfer et. al., PLB 80 (2020) 135769]

Constraints from existing measurements (correlations model dependent)



LFU violation





19/10/2023

Perugia

INFN



- 1 events observed
- Br(K⁺ $\rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu$) < 14x10⁻¹⁰ @ 90% CL Phys. Lett. B 791 (2019) 156-166
- 2 events observed
- Br(K⁺ $\rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu$) < 1.78x10⁻¹⁰ @ 90% CL JHEP 11 (2020) 042



5.4 background + 7.6 SM signal events expected, 17 events observed

■ NA62 Run1(2016 + 2017 + 2018) result [JHEP 06 (2021) 093]: $*BR(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) = (10.6^{+4.0}_{-3.4stat.} \pm 0.9_{syst.}) \times 10^{-11}(3.4\sigma \text{ significance})$