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## NU

## Why we study the charmonium decay

## ©Charmonium $\Psi$

$>J / \psi, \psi(3686)$ : non-relativistic bound states of a charm and an anticharm quark (c $\bar{c}$ )
Test the properties of QCD
$>$ Ideal laboratory for the properties of the strong interaction using quantum chromodynamics (QCD)


## Analysis strategy

## ©BESIII experiment



A symmetric electron positron collider running at tau-charm region BEPCII: Electron-positron colliders: accelerate the $\mathrm{e}^{+} e^{-}$ BESIII detector : Record the hit positions, momentum, energy of particles. High statistic Clean background!

## ©Cascade decay

$\psi(3686) \rightarrow \phi K_{S}^{0} K_{S}^{0}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}, K_{S}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$

## OBranching fraction



$$
N_{\text {sig }}=N_{\psi(3686)} \times \mathcal{B}_{\text {inter }} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\text {sig }}-\text { Our interested variables! }
$$

$\Rightarrow$ We need to estimate
? $\varepsilon$ : detection efficiency (MC simulations)
? $N_{s i g}$ : signal yields in data, also need to consider the background contaminations.

## OData sets

$\checkmark$ Obtain signal yields : using (448.1 $\pm 2.9) \times 10^{6} \psi(3686)$ events
$\checkmark$ Estimate the backgrounds
$\checkmark$ Estimate the interference contributions between resonance \& non-resonant

## Background estimation

## OTwo sorts of backgrounds

$>$ 1. Same final states channel decayed from $\psi(3686)$
$\checkmark$ Estimate them using the sideband method

$\pi^{+} \pi(a)$

(b)
©Two sorts of backgrounds
2. Decays from QED process
$\checkmark$ Contributions of the continuum processes
$\checkmark$ Weighted average method

$$
\overline{N_{\mathrm{QED}}}=108 \pm 5
$$



| $\overline{E_{\text {CM }}(\mathrm{GeV})}$ | $\mathcal{L}_{\text {cont. }}\left(\mathrm{pb}^{-1}\right)$ | $N_{\text {net }}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $N_{\text {QED }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.508 | 183.64 | $32 \pm 6$ | 3.30 | $106 \pm 20$ |
| 3.510 | 181.79 | $28 \pm 7$ | 3.34 | $94 \pm 23$ |
| 3.539 | 25.50 | $7 \pm 3$ | 24.17 | $169 \pm 72$ |
| 3.553 | 42.56 | $10 \pm 3$ | 14.59 | $146 \pm 44$ |
| 3.554 | 27.24 | $1 \pm 1$ | 22.81 | $23 \pm 23$ |
| 3.650 | 43.88 | $14 \pm 4$ | 14.94 | $209 \pm$ |
| 3.773 | 2931.80 | $465 \pm 22$ | 0.24 | $112 \pm$ |

## Interference effect

(2)Why we need to consider the interference?

- The total cross section of $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \phi K_{S}^{0} K_{S}^{0}$ includes three parts
$\Rightarrow$ Resonances ( $\psi(3686)$ ), Continuum, interference term
$\sigma_{\text {tot }}(s)=\sigma_{\text {cont. }}(s)+\sigma_{\text {Res }}(s)+\sigma_{\text {inter }}(s)$
Strong decay via 3 gluons
$>$ Measure the line shape of $\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \phi K_{S}^{0} K_{S}^{0}\right)$ in the vicinity of $\psi(3686)$
$>$ Obtain the relative phase $\varphi$ from fitting to $\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \phi K_{S}^{0} K_{S}^{0}\right)$
- Two solutions
$\Rightarrow$ The fit yields two solution with the same $\frac{\chi^{2}}{n d f}=\frac{9.88}{6}$
$>$ However, the destructive solution can be excluded by the isospin symmetry. $\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\psi \rightarrow \phi K_{S}^{0} K_{S}^{0}\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\psi \rightarrow \phi K^{+} K^{-}\right)}=\frac{1}{2}$
$>$ The constructive solution will be treated as physical solution.



