ME Decays of 1⁻⁺ Charmoniumlike Hybrid



Poster presented by: Chunjiang Shi

Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, PRC Collaborate with Ying Chen, Ming Gong, Xiangyu Jiang, Zhaofeng Liu, Wei Sun.

Oct 17, 2023. Mainz, Germany.

Based on: arXiv:hep-lat/2306.12884



中國科學院為能物好為統 Institute of High Energy Physics Chinese Academy of Sciences









open-charm decay: $D\bar{D}_1(S$ -wave), $D\bar{D}^*(P$ -wave), $D^*\bar{D}^*(P$ -wave). close-charm decay: $\chi_{c1}\eta(S$ -wave), $\eta_c\eta'(P$ -wave), $J/\psi\omega(P$ -wave).



The final results

$\begin{array}{c} \text{Mode} \\ (AB) \end{array}$	$\hat{k}(\mathrm{IE})$	$ \overset{r_1}{(\times 10^{-3})} $	g_{AB}	g_{AB} (ave.)	$ \begin{array}{c} \Gamma_{AB} \\ (\text{MeV}) \end{array} $
$D_1 ar{D}$	(0, 0, 0)(L16) (0, 0, 0)(L24)	4.95(5) 3.10(26)	$\begin{array}{c} 4.27(5) \\ 4.92(41) \end{array}$	4.6(6)	258(133)
$D^*\bar{D}$	(1, 1, 1)(L16) (2, 2, 0)(L24)	$1.11(3) \\ 0.78(7)$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.35(21) \\ 8.34(74) \end{array}$	8.3(7)	88(18)
$D^* \bar{D}^*$	$\begin{array}{c} (1,1,1)(L16) \\ (1,1,0)(L16) \\ (2,0,0)(L24) \\ (1,1,1)(L24) \end{array}$	$1.00(3) \\ 1.15(4) \\ 1.05(9) \\ 0.67(7)$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.44(12) \\ 3.79(12) \\ 5.06(42) \\ 6.31(58) \end{array}$	4.6(1.8)	150(118)
$\chi_{c1}\eta_{(2)}$	(0, 0, 0)(L16) (0, 0, 0)(L24)	2.04(26) 1.18(38)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.31(2) \\ 1.39(45) \end{array}$	1.35(45)	-
$\eta_c \eta_{(2)}$	(1, 1, 1)(L16) (2, 2, 0)(L24)	$0.20(6) \\ 0.10(3)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.62(18) \\ 0.47(12) \end{array}$	0.55(22)	-

Due to $m_{\eta_{c1}} = 4.329(36)$ from our lattice

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{|\mathcal{M}(\eta_{c1} \to AP)|^2} &= \frac{1}{3}g_{AP}^2 m_{\eta_{c1}} (3 + \frac{k_{\text{ex}}^2}{m_A^2}), \\ \overline{|\mathcal{M}(\eta_{c1} \to PP)|^2} &= \frac{4}{3}g_{PP}^2 k_{\text{ex}}^2, \\ \overline{|\mathcal{M}(\eta_{c1} \to VP)|^2} &= \frac{2}{3}g_{VP}^2 k_{\text{ex}}^2, \\ \overline{|\mathcal{M}(\eta_{c1} \to D^*\bar{D}^*)|^2} &= \frac{4}{3}g^2 k_{\text{ex}}^2 \frac{m_{\eta_{c1}}^2}{m_{D^*}^2}. \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{AB} &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{k_{\text{ex}}}{m_{\eta_{c1}}^2} \ \overline{|\mathcal{M}(\eta_{c1} \to AB)|^2} \end{aligned}$$

- $D_1 \overline{D}$ dominates.
- $D^*\overline{D}$ and $D^*\overline{D}^*$ are important.

This observation is in striking contrast to the expectation of the flux-tube model.



For $m_{\eta_{c1}} = 4329(36)$ MeV, we have

 $\Gamma_{D_1 \overline{D}} = 258(133) \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma_{D^* \overline{D}^*} = 150(118) \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma_{D \overline{D}^*} = 88(18) \text{ MeV}$

 $\Gamma_{\chi_{c1}\eta} = \sin^2 \theta \cdot 44(29) \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma_{\eta_c\eta'} = \cos^2 \theta \cdot 0.93(77) \text{ MeV}$

- Given the mass above, η_{c1} seems too wide to be identified easily in experiments.
- However, Γ_{ηc1} is very sensitive to m_{ηc1}.
 If m_{ηc1} ~ 4.2 GeV, then Γ_{ηc1} ~ 100 MeV.
 The dominant decay channels are D*D and D*D*.
 Especially for D*D*, the measurement of the polarization of D* and D* D* will help distinguish a
 - 1^{-+} states from 1^{--} states.
- We suggest LHCb, Bellell and BESIII to search for η_{c1} in $D^*\overline{D}$ and $D^*\overline{D}^*$ systems !

Possible production in experiments





<u>Summary</u>

- ✓ We give the first Lattice QCD prediction of the partial decay widths of the charmoniumlike η_{c1} .
- ✓ Disfavor the results of the Flux-tube model.
- ✓ We provide the theoretical information for the experimental search for charmoniumlike hybrid η_{c1} .