

On the nature of the N^* and Δ resonances via coupled-channel dynamics

The 16th International Conference on Meson-Nucleon Physics and the Structure of the Nucleon (MENU 2023)

16/10/2023 | Yu-Fei Wang | Institute for Advanced Simulation, FZJ



Member of the Helmholtz Association

Outline

- Introduction
- 2 Theoretical Framework
- 3 Numerical results
- Conclusion and Outlook

Based on arXiv: 2307.06799 [nucl-th] In collaboration with U.-G. Meißner, D. Rönchen, and C.-W. Shen



Introduction

Criteria of Compositeness

Weinberg's criterion

- Hadrons → quarks & gluons v.s. hadron exchanges?
- Weinberg's criterion (deuteron) [Weinberg, PR 137, B672 (1965)]

$$a = -\frac{2(1-Z)}{2-Z}R + \mathcal{O}(L)$$
$$r = -\frac{Z}{1-Z}R + \mathcal{O}(L)$$

Z: "elementariness". $R \sim 4.3$ fm: deuteron radius. $L \sim m_{\pi}^{-1}$ interaction range.

a: scattering length. *r*: effective range.

Conditions:

S-wave

- near-threshold
- stable

Modern generalization

- $\blacksquare \ \ \mbox{Modern hadron spectroscopy \& exotic states} \rightarrow \ \ \mbox{unstable resonances, coupled-channel}$
- Deviation from naive quark models → likely hadronic molecules [Guo et al., RMP 90, 015004 (2018)]
- Criteria
 - pole-counting rule [Morgan, NPA 543, 632 (1992)]: hadron exchanges → one near-threshold pole (S-wave only)
 - spectral density functions [Baru et al., PLB 586, 53 (2004)]
 - complex elementariness of Gamow states
 [Hyodo, PRL 111, 132002 (2013)] [Guo and Oller, PRD 93, 096001 (2016)]

[Sekihara, PRC 95, 025206 (2017)]

- Higher partial waves, broad resonances, not close to thresholds → extremely difficult
- Comprehensive data driven models
 - \rightarrow meaningful results



Slide 2

Introduction

Spectroscopy & Jülich-Bonn Model

(See also Deborah's talk tomorrow)

- \blacksquare Jülich-Bonn model \rightarrow coupled-channel partial-wave analyses and resonance extraction
- Hadron exchange potentials + s-channel states + scattering equation
- Fit to a worldwide collection of data
- $\blacksquare \text{ Unitarity, Analyticity} \rightarrow \text{searching resonance poles on the second sheet} \text{ [Döring et. al., NPA 829, 170 (2009)]}$
- Applications
 - Hadronic part: πN induced reactions $\rightarrow N^*$ and Δ spectral

[Schütz et. al., PRC 51, 1374 (1995)] [Schütz et. al., PRC 49, 2671 (1994)][Schütz et. al., PRC 57, 1464 (1998)] [Krehl et. al., PRC 62, 025207 (2000)] [Gasparvan et. al., PRC 68, 045207 (2003)][Döring et. al., NPA 851, 58 (2011)] [Rönchen et. al., EPIA 49, 44 (2013)][Wang et. al., PRD 106, 094031 (2022)]

■ Photoproduction → much more data

[Rönchen et. al., EPJA 50, 101 (2014)] [Rönchen et. al., EPJA 51, 70 (2015)] [Rönchen et. al., EPJA 54, 110 (2018)] [Rönchen et. al., EPJA 58, 229 (2022)]

- Electroproduction (Jülich-Bonn-Washington) [Mai et. al., PRC 103, 065204 (2021)] [Mai et. al., PRC 106, 015201 (2022)]
- Hidden charm sector and P_c states [Shen et. al., CPC 42, 023106 (2018)] [Wang et. al., EPJC 82, 497 (2022)]
- The N^* and Δ structures
 - meaningful for understanding QCD
 - spectral density functions & Gamow states → direct outputs of the model



Toy model

Hilbert space

- Orthogonal and complete basis
 - ightarrow bare state $|\psi_{0}
 angle$
 - + continuous two-body states $|\psi({f k})
 angle$
- Hamiltonian (μ : reduced mass. $E_0 > 0$: bare state energy. *g*: coupling constant. $F(k, \Lambda) = \frac{\Lambda^2}{k^2 + \Lambda^2}$: regulator)

$$\begin{split} \hat{H}_{0} &= E_{0} |\psi_{0}\rangle \langle \psi_{0}| + \int \frac{d^{3}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{k^{2}}{2\mu} |\psi(\mathbf{k})\rangle \langle \psi(\mathbf{k}) \\ \hat{H}_{I} &= \int \frac{d^{3}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}} gF(k,\Lambda) |\psi(\mathbf{k})\rangle \langle \psi_{0}| + h.c. \end{split}$$

Easy to be extended to coupled-channels

Solutions

- Eigenstates $|\Phi
 angle=c_0|\psi_0
 angle+\intrac{d^3{f k}}{(2\pi)^3}\chi({f k})|\psi({f k})
 angle$
 - bound states: $\hat{H}|\Phi(B)\rangle = -B|\Phi(B)\rangle$
 - scattering states: $\hat{H} | \Phi(\mathcal{E}) \rangle = \mathcal{E} | \Phi(\mathcal{E}) \rangle$
 - completeness: $|\Phi(B)\rangle\langle\Phi(B)| + \int_0^{+\infty} d\mathcal{E}|\Phi(\mathcal{E})\rangle\langle\Phi(\mathcal{E})| = \hat{1}$
 - elementariness & compositeness: $Z \sim |c_0|^2$, $X \sim \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} |\chi(\mathbf{k})|^2$
- Scattering amplitude $\hat{T} = \hat{V} + \hat{V}\hat{G}\hat{T},$ $\hat{V} = \hat{H}_I \frac{|\psi_0\rangle\langle\psi_0|}{\mathcal{E} - E_0 + i0^+} \hat{H}_I$
- Elementariness of a bound state: normalization $\langle \Phi(B) | \Phi(B) \rangle = 1$ $Z = (1 - \Sigma')^{-1}, \Sigma \equiv \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{g^2 F^2(\mathbf{k}, \Lambda)}{\mathcal{E} - \mathbf{k}^2/(2\mu)}$



Spectral density functions

- Elementariness of a bound state: $Z_B \equiv |\langle \psi_0 | \Phi(B) \rangle|^2$
- Spectral density function (Källén-Lehmann spectral representation): $w(\mathcal{E}) \equiv |\langle \psi_0 | \Phi(\mathcal{E}) \rangle|^2 = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} D(\mathcal{E})$
- Bare state propagator: $D(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathcal{E} E_0 \Sigma)^{-1}$
- Quasi-bound states $\mathcal{E}_R = E_R i \frac{\Gamma_R}{2}$ (narrow resonances close to and below a threshold): $w(\mathcal{E} \simeq E_R) = \frac{Z_B}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma_R/2}{(\mathcal{E} - E_R)^2 + (\Gamma_R/2)^2}$ Z_B : the elementariness of the bound state when the decay channel is switched off
- When $\Gamma_R \to 0$, $w(\mathcal{E} \simeq E_R) \to Z_B \delta(\mathcal{E} E_R)$: compatible with Weinberg's criterion
- **Estimation of the elementariness (** $\Delta E \sim \Gamma_R$ **)**:

$$Z \simeq \frac{\int_{E_R - \Delta E}^{E_R - \Delta E} w(\mathcal{E}) d\mathcal{E}}{\int_{E_R - \Delta E}^{E_R + \Delta E} BW(\mathcal{E}) d\mathcal{E}}, BW(\mathcal{E}) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma_R/2}{(\mathcal{E} - E_R)^2 + (\Gamma_R/2)^2}$$

Applications: [Baru et al., PLB 586, 53 (2004)] [Baru et al., EPJA 44, 93 (2010)] [Hanhart et al., PRD 81, 094028 (2010)] [Hanhart et al., EPJA 47, 101 (2011)]...



Gamow states

- \blacksquare Resonances \rightarrow poles on the second Riemann sheet
- Analytical continuation \rightarrow contour deformation (complex scaling): $\int_0^{+\infty} k^2 dk \rightarrow \int_C k^2 dk$
- Gamow states [Gamow, Zeitschrift für Physik 51, 204 (1928)] [Civitarese and Gadella, Physics Reports 396, 41(2004)]
 - with contour deformation when performing inner product:

$$|\Phi_{R}) = c_{0} \Big[|\psi_{0}
angle - \int_{C} rac{d^{3}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}} rac{gF(k,\Lambda)}{rac{k^{2}}{2\mu} - \mathcal{E}_{R}} |\psi(\mathbf{k})
angle \Big]$$

- complex eigenvalue $\hat{H}|\Phi_R) = \mathcal{E}_R|\Phi_R) \rightarrow \text{cannot be normalized} \rightarrow (\Phi_R^*|\Phi_R) = 1$
- elementariness: $Z_R = (1 \Sigma'|_{2nd \text{ sheet}})^{-1} \rightarrow \text{complex, not a probability}$
- Complex compositeness \rightarrow off-shell residues r(k) [Sekihara, PRC 95, 025206 (2017)]:

$$T^{II}(k,k',\mathcal{E}) = \frac{r(k)r(k')}{\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_{R}} + \cdots, X_{R} = 1 - Z_{R} = \int_{C} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{r^{2}(k)}{[\mathcal{E}_{R} - k^{2}/(2\mu)]^{2}}$$





The Jülich-Bonn model

The model

- Scattering Eq. with CM energy z $T_{\mu\nu}(p'',p',z) = V_{\mu\nu}(p'',p',z) + \sum_{\kappa} \int_{0}^{\infty} p^{2} dp V_{\mu\kappa}(p'',p,z) G_{\kappa}(p,z) T_{\kappa\nu}(p,p',z)$
- Effective three-body channels: ρN , $\pi \Delta$ and σN
- s-channel bare states \rightarrow separation $T = T^{P} + T^{NP}$
- Potentials \rightarrow hadron exchanges
- Technical details [Wang et. al., PRD 106, 094031 (2022)]

Study of the structures

- Compared with the toy model
 - coupled-channel
 - more s-channel bare states
 - hadron-exchange potentials
- Spectral density functions \rightarrow readily extracted from self-energies $w_i(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} D_i(z)$
- "Total elementariness" $\rightarrow Z = 1 \prod_i (1 Z_i)$
- Partial compositeness (Gamow states) \rightarrow off-shell residues

 $X_{\kappa} = \int_{C} p^2 dp \, r_{\kappa}^2(p) G_{\kappa}^2(p, z_{\text{pole}})$



Numerical details

- Selection of the resonances
 - JüBo2022 solution (KΣ photoproduction, no ωN) [Rönchen et. al., EPJA 58, 229 (2022)]
 - For $N^* J \leq 5/2$, for $\Delta J \leq 3/2$
 - Width $\Gamma_R < 300 \text{ MeV}$
- \blacksquare Uncertainties \rightarrow comparison of three results
 - spectral density functions directly from the model
 - locally constructed spectral density functions only by the pole position and residues (L_{κ} : loop functions)

$$w^{
m lc}(z) = -rac{1}{\pi} {
m Im} \left[z - M_0 - \sum_\kappa g_\kappa^2 {
m L}_\kappa(z)
ight]^{-1}$$

■ complex compositeness of the Gamow state, with naive measure [Sekihara, PRC 104, 035202 (2021)]

$$\tilde{X}_{\kappa} \equiv \frac{|X_{\kappa}|}{\sum_{\alpha} |X_{\alpha}| + |Z|}, \tilde{Z} \equiv \frac{|Z|}{\sum_{\alpha} |X_{\alpha}| + |Z|}$$



Spectral density functions – Δ states

Blue solid line: the Breit-Wigner denominator. Orange dashed (green dash-dotted) line: the 1st (2nd) spectral density function (model). Red dotted line: the locally constructed function. Vertical lines: the integral region.





Spectral density functions – *N*^{*} **states**





Compositeness

| State | Pole position (MeV) | $\mathcal{Z}_{	ext{tot}}$ | \mathcal{Z}^{lc} | Ĩ |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| $N(1535)\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | 1504 — 37i | 29.0% | 50.8% | 39.4% |
| $N(1650) \frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | 1678 — 64i | 92.8% | 70.5% | 8.5% |
| N(1440) $rac{1}{2}^+$ | 1353 — 102i | 49.5% | 31.5% | 36.9% |
| $N(1710) \frac{1}{2}^+$ | 1605 — 58i | 20.6% | 10.2% | 40.3% |
| $N(1720)\frac{3}{2}^{+}$ | 1726 — 93i | 79.3% | 62.5% | 41.4% |
| N(1900) <u>3</u> + | 1905 — 47i | 100% | 99.9% | 38.5% |
| $N(1520) \frac{3}{2}^{-}$ | 1482 — 63i | 29.4% | 7.2% | 40.4% |
| $N(1675)\frac{5}{2}^{-}$ | 1652 — 60i | 16.6% | (F) | 61.8% |
| N(1680) $rac{5}{2}^+$ | 1657 — 60i | 67.9% | 69.9% | 55.0% |
| $\Delta(1620)\frac{1}{2}^{-1}$ | 1607 — 42i | 18.9% | 50.0% | 69.4% |
| $\Delta(1232)\frac{3}{2}^+$ | 1215 — 46i | 53.8% | (F) | 30.5% |
| $\Delta(1600) \frac{3}{2}^+$ | 1590 — 68i | 47.8% | 77.5% | 69.7% |
| $\Delta(1700)\frac{3}{2}^{-}$ | 1637 — 148 <i>i</i> | 59.7% | 44.9% | 47.8% |



Results & Discussions

Results

- At least two results suggest high compositeness: $N(1535)\frac{1}{2}^{-}, N(1440)\frac{1}{2}^{+}, N(1710)\frac{1}{2}^{+}, N(1520)\frac{3}{2}^{-}$
- At least two results suggest high elementariness: $N(1650)\frac{1}{2}^{-}, N(1900)\frac{3}{2}^{+}, N(1680)\frac{5}{2}^{+}, \Delta(1600)\frac{3}{2}^{+}$
- Hints of compositions (Gamow states)
 - $N^*(1535)$: $X_{\eta N} = 35.8\%$
 - $N^*(1440): X_{\pi N} = 59.0\%$
 - $N^*(1710): X_{\eta N} = 44.9\%$
 - $N^*(1520): X_{\pi\pi N} = 43.7\%$
- The compositions may be model-dependent: in this model all the σN bare couplings are switched off

On the states

■ $N(1535)\frac{1}{2}^{-1}$

might be dynamically generated

[Kaiser et. al., PLB 362, 23 (1995)] [Bruns et. al., PLB 697, 254 (2011)]

- ωN might be important [Wang et. al., PRD 106, 094031 (2022)]
- $N(1440)^{\frac{1}{2}^+}$
 - always dynamically generated in this model [Krehl et. al., PRC 62, 025207 (2000)]
 - "radial excitation of the nucleon" is not favoured [Meißner and Durso, NPA 430, 670 (1984)]
 - a large σN component [Sekihara, PRC 104, 035202 (2021)]
- Δ(1232)³⁺₂: three Δ's
 (s-channel bare, initial/final πΔ, u-channel exchange) → technical difficulties in this study



Conclusion and Outlook

- Jülich-Bonn model is a comprehensive coupled-channel model for partial-wave analyses and resonance extraction.
- In this work we let the model say something more: the information of the structures of the N* and Δ states.
- The results for 8 states are relatively certain:
 - tend to be composite $N^*(1535)$, $N^*(1440)$, $N^*(1710)$, $N^*(1520)$;
 - tend to be elementary N^{*}(1650), N^{*}(1900), N^{*}(1680), Δ(1600).
- Open questions:
 - understanding the relation between the spectral density functions and the Gamow states, e.g. the results for N*(1650);
 - understanding different descriptions, e.g. hadronic models and quark models.
- In the future:
 - study of the *P_c* states;
 - upgrade of the results when ωN photoproduction is included.







Backups

Details of the model

Dynamics I

Central part of this model: hadronic (πN induced) reactions

The Lippmann-Schwinger-like equation (CM frame)

 $T_{\mu\nu}(p'',p',z) = V_{\mu\nu}(p'',p',z) + \sum_{\kappa} \int_{0}^{\infty} p^{2} dp V_{\mu\kappa}(p'',p,z) G_{\kappa}(p,z) T_{\kappa\nu}(p,p',z)$

- Reaction channels $\nu \to \kappa \to \mu$ (after PW and isospin projection, JLS basis [Jacob & Wick, Annals Phys. 7, 404 (1959)], $J \le 9/2$)
- Propagator: G ($\pi\pi N$ channel: effective channels ρN , σN , $\pi\Delta$. E/ ω energy of the baryon/meson.)

$$G_{\kappa}(z,p) = \begin{cases} (z - E_{\kappa} - \omega_{\kappa} + i0^{+})^{-1} & \text{(if } \kappa \text{ is a two-body channel)} , \\ [z - E_{\kappa} - \omega_{\kappa} - \Sigma_{\kappa}(z,p) + i0^{+}]^{-1} & \text{(if } \kappa \text{ is an effective channel)} . \end{cases}$$

- Second Riemann sheet \rightarrow analytical continuation of G [Döring et. al., NPA 829, 170 (2009)]
- Observables \rightarrow dimensionless amplitude $\tau_{\mu\nu} = -\pi \sqrt{\rho_{\mu}\rho_{\nu}} T_{\mu\nu}$, $\rho \rightarrow$ kinematic factor
- Separating the amplitude \rightarrow with/without *s*-channel poles $T = T^{P} + T^{NP}$



Details of the model

Dynamics II

- $T^{NP} = V^{NP} + \sum \int p^2 dp V^{NP} G T^{NP}$
- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ T^{p}_{\mu\nu}(p^{\prime\prime},p^{\prime},z) = \sum_{i,j} \Gamma^{a}_{\mu,i}(p^{\prime\prime}) D_{ij}(z) \Gamma^{c}_{\nu,j}(p^{\prime}), \\ (D^{-1})_{ij} = \delta_{ij}(z-m^{b}_{i}) \Sigma_{ij}(z) \end{array}$
 - Γ(γ): the dressed (bare) vertices
 (a annihilation, c creation)
 - Σ: self-energy functions (nucleon mass renormalization)
- V^{NP}, γ → constructed from effective Lagrangians + regulators (cut-offs) (details: Supplemental material of [Wang et. al., PRD 106, 094031 (2022)])
- s-channel contact terms: $D \sim (1 \Sigma)^{-1}$





Local construction of the spectral density functions

Local simulation of the amplitude

$$T^{\mathsf{lc}}_{\alpha\beta}(z) = \frac{cg_{\alpha}g_{\beta}f^{a}_{\alpha}(q_{\alpha z})f^{c}_{\beta}(q_{\beta z})}{z - M_{0} - \sum_{\kappa}g^{2}_{\kappa}L_{\kappa}(z)} + \cdots$$

■ Loop functions (f: vertex function in this model)

$$L_{\kappa}(z) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} p^{2} dp \, G_{\kappa}(p,z) f_{\alpha}^{a}(q_{\kappa z}) f_{\alpha}^{c}(q_{\kappa z})$$

Parameters

$$\begin{split} h_{\kappa} &\equiv \frac{g_{\kappa}^{2}}{g_{1}^{2}} = \left| \frac{r_{\kappa} f_{1}^{a}}{r_{1} f_{\kappa}^{a}} \right|^{2}, \\ g_{1}^{2} &= -\frac{\Gamma_{R}}{2 \sum_{\kappa} h_{\kappa} \text{Im}(L_{\kappa}^{\parallel})}, \\ M_{0} &= M_{R} - g_{1}^{2} \sum_{\kappa} h_{\kappa} \text{Re}(L_{\kappa}^{\parallel}), \\ c &= \frac{r_{1}^{2}}{g_{1}^{2} f_{1}^{a} f_{1}^{c}} \left(1 - g_{1}^{2} \sum_{\kappa} h_{\kappa} \frac{d}{dz} L_{\kappa}^{\parallel} \right|_{z = M_{R} - i\Gamma_{R}/2} \right). \end{split}$$

g² < 0 \rightarrow construction fails. Estimation:

$$g_{\kappa}^{2} \rightarrow \left| \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\rho_{\kappa}}{\Gamma_{R}}} r_{\kappa} \right|^{2}, M_{0} \rightarrow M_{0} - i \frac{\Gamma_{0}}{2}$$

Complex compositions of *N**'s – two-body channels

| State | $X_{\pi N}$ | $X_{\eta N}$ | X _{KΛ} | X _{KΣ} | Z |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| N(1525) 1- | 0.12 + 0.14 <i>i</i> | 0.67 + 0.57i | 0.03 — 0.01i | 0.01 — 0.03i | 0.32 — 0.92i |
| M(1555) 2 | (7.5%) | (35.8%) | (1.3%) | (1.2%) | (39.4%) |
| N(1450) 1- | 0.17 + 0.28 <i>i</i> | 0.60 — 0.15i | 0.03 + 0.03i | 0.15 — 0.03i | 0.12 + 0.02i |
| $N(1050) = \frac{1}{2}$ | (22.2%) | (41.4%) | (3.0%) | (10.2%) | (8.5%) |
| $N(1440) \frac{1}{2}^+$ | 0.69 + 0.37i | 0.00 + 0.00i | 0.00 – 0.00i | 0.00 + 0.00i | 0.34 — 0.36i |
| | (59.0%) | (0.2%) | (0.0%) | (0.0%) | (36.9%) |
| N(1710) 1+ | 0.04 — 0.00i | 0.87 + 0.97i | -0.10 + 0.18 <i>i</i> | -0.00 + 0.01i | 0.02 — 1.17i |
| $N(1710)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ | (1.4%) | (44.9%) | (6.9%) | (0.3%) | (40.3%) |
| N(1720) 3+ | —1.16 — 3.01i | 0.04 – 0.04i | 0.03 + 0.05i | -0.08 + 0.13i | 2.71 + 3.09i |
| $N(1/20) = \frac{1}{2}$ | (32.6%) | (0.5%) | (0.6%) | (1.5%) | (41.4%) |
| $N(1900) \frac{3}{2}^+$ - | -0.00 + 0.00i | -0.02 + 0.00i | 0.39 + 0.33i | 0.90 — 0.17i | -3.04 + 1.22i |
| | (0.1%) | (0.2%) | (6.0%) | (10.7%) | (38.5%) |
| $N(1520) \frac{3}{2}^{-}$ | 0.19 + 0.39i | -0.00 + 0.00i | 0.00 + 0.00i | 0.00 – 0.00i | 0.87 — 0.66i |
| | (15.9%) | (0.0%) | (0.0%) | (0.0%) | (40.4%) |
| $N(1675)\frac{5}{2}^{-}$ | 0.02 + 0.17i | 0.02 — 0.06i | -0.00 + 0.00i | 0.00 + 0.00i | 1.01 — 0.49i |
| | (9.4%) | (3.3%) | (0.0%) | (0.0%) | (61.8%) |
| N(1680) 5+ | 0.22 + 0.50i | -0.00 - 0.00i | 0.00 – 0.00i | 0.00 – 0.00i | 0.68 — 0.48i |
| $N(1000) \frac{1}{2}$ | (36.3%) | (0.0%) | (0.0%) | (0.0%) | (55.0%) |

Complex compositions of *N**'s – effective channels

| State | X _{ρN} (1) | $X_{ ho N}(2)$ | $X_{ ho N}(3)$ | $X_{\pi\Delta}(1)$ | $X_{\pi\Delta}(2)$ | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| $N(1535) \frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | -0.17 + 0.27i | | -0.00 + 0.00i | | 0.02 – 0.04i | |
| | (13.0%) | | (0.2%) | | (1.6%) | |
| N(1450) 1- | -0.02 - 0.11i | | -0.01 - 0.06i | | -0.04 + 0.02i | |
| $N(1050) \frac{1}{2}$ | (7.4%) | | (4.3%) | | (3.0%) | |
| N(1440) 1+ | -0.00 + 0.01i | -0.01 + 0.00i | | -0.02 - 0.03i | | |
| $N(1440) \frac{1}{2}$ | (0.6%) | (0.6%) | | (2.7%) | | |
| N(1710) 1+ | 0.00 — 0.00i | -0.01 + 0.01i | | 0.17 + 0.01 <i>i</i> | | |
| $N(1710)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ | (0.0%) | (0.3%) | | (5.9%) | | |
| N(1720) 3+ | 0.19 — 0.07i | 0.58 — 0.07i | 0.10 — 0.07i | -1.40 + 0.01i | -0.01 - 0.01 <i>i</i> | |
| $N(1/20)_{\overline{2}}$ | (2.1%) | (5.9%) | (1.2%) | (14.1%) | (0.1%) | |
| $N(1900) \frac{3}{2}^+$ | 0.01 — 0.01 <i>i</i> | 3.04 — 1.60i | -0.00 - 0.00i | -0.27 + 0.22i | -0.00 - 0.00i | |
| | (0.1%) | (40.3%) | (0.0%) | (4.1%) | (0.0%) | |
| $N(1520) \frac{3}{2}^{-}$ | 0.00 + 0.00i | -0.01 + 0.01i | -0.58 + 0.26i | 0.01 — 0.00i | 0.52 — 0.00i | |
| | (0.1%) | (0.5%) | (23.5%) | (0.3%) | (19.3%) | |
| N(1675) $\frac{5}{2}^{-}$ | -0.00 - 0.00i | -0.14 + 0.26i | 0.00 — 0.01i | 0.10 + 0.12i | -0.00 + 0.00i | |
| | (0.1%) | (16.2%) | (0.4%) | (8.8%) | (0.0%) | |
| N(1690) 5+ | 0.00 — 0.01i | 0.00 — 0.00i | -0.01 - 0.00i | 0.00 + 0.00i | 0.11 — 0.01i | |
| $N(1080) = \frac{3}{2}$ | (0.4%) | (0.2%) | (0.7%) | (0.0%) | (7.4%) | |

Complex compositions of Δ 's

| State | $X_{\pi N}$ | $X_{\rho N}(1)$ | $X_{\rho N}(2)$ | $X_{\rho N}(3)$ | $X_{\pi\Delta}(1)$ | $X_{\pi\Delta}(2)$ | $X_{K\Sigma}$ | Ζ |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| $\Delta(1620) \frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | 0.10 - 0.03i (8.6%) | -0.02 - 0.09i (8.0%) | | -0.00 - 0.00i (0.3%) | | $\begin{array}{c} 0.13 - 0.09i \\ (13.0\%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.01 + 0.00i \\ (0.7\%) \end{array}$ | 0.79 + 0.20i (69.4%) |
| $\Delta(1232) \frac{3}{2}^+$ | 0.63 + 1.16i (28.5%) | 0.02 - 0.01i (0.4%) | -0.00 + 0.01i (0.2%) | 0.06 - 0.03i (1.4%) | 1.54 - 0.58i (35.4%) | -0.01 - 0.01i (0.3%) | -0.00 + 0.15i (3.3%) | -1.24 - 0.70i (30.5%) |
| $\Delta(1600) \frac{3}{2}^+$ | -0.04 + 0.07i (3.5%) | $\begin{array}{c} 0.00 - 0.01i \\ (0.3\%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.01 - 0.03i \\ (1.3\%) \end{array}$ | -0.00 - 0.00i (0.1%) | -0.42 + 0.21i (21.0%) | -0.00 - 0.00i (0.0%) | -0.08 + 0.05i (4.1%) | 1.53 - 0.29i (69.7%) |
| $\Delta(1700) \frac{3}{2}^{-}$ | -0.03 + 0.05i (2.3%) | -0.00 + 0.01i (0.4%) | -0.03 + 0.02i (1.3%) | -0.03 + 0.00i (1.3%) | -0.01 - 0.03i (1.5%) | 0.45 - 0.95i (45.4%) | $\begin{array}{c} 0.00+0.00i \\ (0.0\%) \end{array}$ | 0.64 + 0.91i (47.8%) |

TABLE VII: The compositeness and elementariness of the selected Δ Gamow states. The percentages in the brackets are the naive measures from Eq. [87]. The meaning of the channel indices are: $\rho N(1) \rightarrow |J - L| = \frac{1}{2}, S = \frac{1}{2};$ $\rho N(2) \rightarrow |J - L| = \frac{1}{2}, S = \frac{3}{2}; \rho N(3) \rightarrow |J - L| = \frac{3}{2}, S = \frac{3}{2}; \pi \Delta(1) \rightarrow |J - L| = \frac{1}{2}; \pi \Delta(2) \rightarrow |J - L| = \frac{3}{2}.$

Three $\Delta(1232)$'s in this model

- s-channel $\Delta(1232)$
 - generates the final $\Delta(1232)$ pole
 - spectral density functions → mostly elementary (53.8%)
 - complex compositeness \rightarrow large πN and $\pi \Delta$ component (28.5%, 35.7%)
- *u*-channel Δ (1232): naive stable particle with mass m = 1232 MeV
- initial/final state Δ (1232)
 - only simulating the πN system
 - spectral density functions \rightarrow 69.6% elementariness
 - \blacksquare complex compositeness \rightarrow X_{\pi N} = 0.36 + 0.32 i, Z \sim 59.5%

