

<u>Valley Trions in Monolayer Transition Metal</u> <u>Dichalcogenides in the Framework of the</u> <u>Method of Hyperspherical Harmonics</u>

R. Ya. Kezerashvili^a Sh.Tsiklauri^b

^(a)New York City College of Technology, The City University of New York, USA ^(b)Borough of Manhattan Community College, The City University of New York, USA





25th European Conference on Few-Body Problems in Physics Mainz, Germany, from July 30th to August 4th, 2023

Objectives

- Develop the theoretical formalism and study the formation of valleytrions in transition metal dichalcogenides monolayers within the framework of a nonrelativistic potential model using the method of hyperspherical harmonics (HH) in four-dimensional space.
- Present and discuss the origin of the binding energy difference between X⁻ and X⁺ trions.

Exciton, Trion

- An exciton a bound electron-hole pair fundamental optical excitation found in semiconductors
- Coulomb attraction between the two oppositely charged quasiparticles.
- When the exciton density is increased with the intensity of the exciting light, excitonic complexes trions and biextions, are expected to form.

Excitons and trions in monolayer TMDs are stable at room temperature due to their remarkably large binding energies in the range of a few hundred meV.



Exciton-history

- Excitons bound complexes of an electron and a hole in solids-was predicted by Frenkel in 1931 (J Phys. Rev. 37 17 (1931)
- In most semiconductors can form hydrogenlike electron-hole complexes, also called Wannier-Mott excitons (1937) (Phys. Rev. 52 191 (1937) ;Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 167 384 (1938))

Trion-history

- The possibility of the existence of bound three-particle electron-hole complexes in semiconductors was predicted in 1958 by Lampert (Phys. Rev. Lett. 1, 450)
- Similar quantum mechanical systems made of two identical particles and a third particle with a different mass and opposite electric charge are the hydrogen ion H- and the molecular ion H2+

Trion

- The difference between trions and the H-and H2+ ions is that the mass ratio of an electron and a hole is no longer a small.
- We can't separate the coordinates of the electron and hole subsystems.
- The calculations much more complicated.

The structure of a TMDC monolayer.

Atomic layers of hexagonal transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) represent a new class of systems.



These two-dimensional (2D) crystals are the direct band gap semiconductors.

Luminescence spectra contain discrete lines understood as the electron-hole recombination from neutral X and charged excitons (X^+, X^-) and neutral biexcitons

Two Dimensional (2D) TMDs

Top view on the hexagonal lattice of MoS_2 lying in the xy plane







Band Gap. Coulomb Interaction



The optical band gaps are 1.9 eV (*MoS*₂), 1.65 eV (*MoSe*₂), 2 eV (*WS*₂), and 1.7 eV (*WSe*₂)

• A noticeable properties of 2D TMDs is the dielectric confinement- the strength of dielectric screening is distance dependent.



When $r < r_0$, most of the electric field lines are confined within the 2D TMD- the Coulomb potential becomes logarithmic.

The electric field lines between two distant charges go outside of the 2D TMD , when $r > r_0$

 r_0 –screening length of the medium

The electron-hole interaction potential

The electron-hole interaction potential V in momentum (q) space is found by solving the Poisson equation:

$$V(q) = \frac{-2\pi}{q(k+r_0q)}$$

k- is the average dielectric constant, $r_0 = 2\pi\chi$, χ –the polarizability of the 2D materials

The 2D screened electrostatic interaction potential

The Rytova-Keldysh (RK) potential is a better description in TMD

$$V(r) = \frac{\pi q^2}{(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)r_0} \left[H_0\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right) - Y_0\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right) \right]$$

$$r_0 = 2\pi\chi_2$$

V. Keldysh, JETP Lett. **29**, 658 (1979). N.S.Rytova, Proc. MSU, Phys Astron, ,30, (1967)

D.V Tuan, M.Yang, H.Dery Phys Rev. Phys Rev B98, 125308, (2018 Kezerashvili R.Ya. Few-Body Syst (2019) 60:52 Kezerashvili R.Ya. EFB2019

Exhibiting nonlocal macroscopic screening which arises in 2D systems Cudazzo, I. V. Tokatly, and A. Rubio, Phys. Rev. B 84, 085406 (2011).

The 2D screened electrostatic interaction potential

 $r >> ro and r \ll r_o$



The input model parameters

The table shows electron and hole effective mass in units m_e and screening length - ρ_0 = $2\pi\chi_{2D}$

| Mono-layer TMD | m _n | m _h | Screening Length $ ho_0$, A ⁰ |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| MoS2 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 38.62 |
| MoSe2 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 51.7 |
| WS2 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 38 |
| WSe2 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 45 |

Liu, et al. PRB 88,(2013). Liu et al. IEEE Trans. Electron Devices 58, (2011), Trions have been of significant interest for the fundamental studies of many-body interactions: carrier multiplication Wigner crystallization (Wigner, E. *Phys. Rev.* 46, (1934) **Spin manipulation** [a trion is a charged exciton with nonzero spin, Xu et al, Spin. Nat. Phys. 10, (2014)]

0

The theoretical technique – the Hyperspherical Function Method is applied to negative and positive trions.

Examples of symmetric negative X-trions



Symmetric positive X+ trion:

Spin Singlet- S=1/2

FORBIDDEN





The input model parameters **WSe**₂-based compound

Electrons have different masses, where one electrons from the top spin –split valley of the conduction band , second from the bottom.



| Mono-layer TMD | m _e | m _h | m'e | m'h |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|------|-------|
| MoSe2 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 0.55 | 0.64 |
| WSe2 | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.4 | 0.36 |
| | | е | | (T-), |

m'e >me

Kormanyos, at all, 2D Mater. 2, 022001, (2015).



where m_i , r_i , and q_i are respectively the 2D position vector, effective mass, and charge of the *i* - th particle.



$$\Psi(\rho,\Omega_i) = \rho^{-3/2} \sum_{K\lambda} u^L_{K\lambda}(\rho) \Phi^{LM}_{K\lambda}(\Omega) \chi_s \phi_\tau.$$

The function χ s is the spin function for X trion, and ϕ is the valence band wave function at the two valleys where index $\tau = +1,-1$ corresponds to the electron being in the K or K0 valley, respectively

Hyperspherical Function Formalizm: Three Body *e⁻e⁻h* Cluster

X

e

6

The relative three-body Schrodinger's equation in hyperspherical coordinates can be written as:



Kezerashvili R Ya, Tsiklauri S M Few-Body Syst. 58 18 (2017 Kezerashvili, Tsiklauri, at all J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 43 (2016).; EPJ Web of Conference 113, (2016). Kezerashvili, Tsiklauri, EPJ Web of Conference 113, (2016). Kezerashvili,. Tsiklauri, EPJ Web of Conference 81, (2014). Berman,. Kezerashvili, and Tsiklauri Int. J.Mod. Phys B28, (2014). Kezerashvili, Tsiklauri, "Few-Body Syst. 54, (2013)

Binding Energy for Trion in TMD: Coulomb Potential

e⁻

| $W^{l_1 l_2 l'_1 l'_2}_{KK'LL'MM'}(ho)$ | | (ρ) | Week, when <i>r>>ro</i> . Coulomb Potential | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| TMD | E <i>,</i> meV (K=0) | E, meV (K=0,2,4,6,8) | ψ , arbitrary units] | | |
| MoS₂ | 21.1 | 22.5 | 0.07 | | |
| MoSe₂ | 21.3 | 22.4 | 0.05 | | |
| WS ₂ | 22.5 | 23.7 | 0.04 | | |
| WSe₂ | 21.8 | 22.9 | 0.02 $r >> \rho_0$, Coulomb tail 0.01 | | |
| | | | 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 Q.[A*] | | |

 $E = -\frac{2\mu W_{123}^2[(l), (l'), K, K']}{\hbar^2 (2N + 2K + 3)^2}$

Binding Energy for Biexciton: Logarithmic Potential

 $W_{KK'LL'MM'}^{l_1l_2l'_{1l'_2}}(\rho)$ Strong regime, when r < ro. Logarithmic Potential In the diagonal approximation there is an approximate analytical solution

| TMD | E, meV (K=0) | EXP. (mev) |
|------------------|------------------|------------|
| MoS₂ | 34.3 | 18, 30,32 |
| MoSe₂ | 30.4 | 30 |
| WS ₂ | 33.9 | 30 |
| WSe ₂ | 31.8 | 30 |

Few theoretical calculations What is the biding energy and density?



The difference in the probability distribution is related to the difference of the effective masses of electrons in K and K



Binding Energy of Trions for various electron- hall mass ratios



Ganchev at al., PRL **114**, (2015)

Results and Discussion

e

We used the effective Keldysh potential for calculations of the ground state binding energy for the e^-e^-h system. The solution of the system of coupled differential equations with Keldysh potential allows to find the binding energies and corresponding wave functions

| Binding energy of X^- and X^+ trions in WSe ₂ , mev | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|--|
| Freestanding Supported Encapsulated | | | | |
| Intravalley, singlet state, $L = 0$, $S = 1/2$ | | | | |
| X^-, K valley electrons | 38.7 | 34.6 | 29.4 | |
| X^-, K' valley electrons | 28.6 | 21.4 | 18.2 | |
| Intervalley, singlet state, $L = 0$, $S = 1/2$ | | | | |
| X^+ , K valley electron | 29.4 | 24.5 | 21.6 | |
| X^+, K' valley electron | 28.9 | 22.9 | 19.8 | |
| Intervalley, triplet state, $L = 1$, $S = 3/2$ | | | | |
| X^-, K, K' valley electrons | 26.3 | 20.1 | 18.7 | |

Summary

- We develop the theoretical formalism and study the formation of valleytrions in transition metal dichalcogenides monolayers within the framework of a nonrelativistic potential model using the method of hyperspherical harmonics (HH) in four-dimensional space.
- We solve the three-body Schrödinger equations with the Rytova-Keldysh potential by expanding the wave functions of a trion in terms of the antisymmetrized HH. The antisymmetrization is based on the electron and hole spins and valley indexes

Summary

 Our approach yields the analytical solution for binding energies of trions in diagonal approximation for these two limiting cases - the Coulomb and logarithmic potentials. We obtained exact analytical expressions for energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for X⁻ and X⁺ trions. The corresponding energy eigenvalues can be considered as the lower and upper limits for the trions binding energies