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## Baryon pair production

$>$ The Born cross section for $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \rightarrow B \bar{B}(B$ spin $1 / 2)$, can be expressed in terms of electromagnetic form factor $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M}}$ :

$$
\sigma_{B \bar{B}}(q)=\frac{4 \pi \alpha^{2} C \beta}{3 q^{2}}\left[\left|G_{M}(q)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \tau}\left|G_{E}(q)\right|^{2}\right]
$$

$>$ The Coulomb factor $\mathrm{C}= \begin{cases}\frac{\pi \alpha}{\beta} \frac{1}{1-\exp \left(-\frac{\pi \alpha}{\beta}\right)} & \text { for a charged } B \bar{B} \text { pair } \\ 1 & \text { for a neutral } B \bar{B} \text { pair }\end{cases}$

$>$ Electromagnetic FFs (analytic): $G_{E}\left(q^{2}\right)=F_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)+\tau \kappa F_{2}\left(q^{2}\right), G_{M}\left(q^{2}\right)=F_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)+$ $\kappa F_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$

$$
\tau=\frac{q^{2}}{4 m^{2}}, \quad \kappa=\frac{g-2}{2}, \quad g=\frac{\mu}{J}
$$

- Properties at threshold $\left(q^{2}=4 m^{2}\right)$ :
$>G_{E}\left(4 m^{2}\right)=G_{M}\left(4 m^{2}\right)$, angular distribution of $B$ isotropic
$>\sigma^{t h}=\frac{4 \pi \alpha^{2} C}{3 q^{2} \tau}\left[1+\frac{1}{2 \tau}\right]\left|G_{e f f}^{N}\left(q^{2}\right)\right|^{2}=\sigma_{\text {point }}^{N}\left(q^{2}\right)\left|G_{e f f}^{N}\left(q^{2}\right)\right|^{2}$
$>$ The point-like cross sections at threshold: $\sigma_{\text {point }}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{2 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2} C}{q^{2}}$
$>$ The cross section for neutron baryon production is zero


## Threshold effects

$\square e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow p \bar{p}$ via ISR at Babar
$>$ Flat cross section near threshold
$\sigma^{t h}=\sigma_{\text {point }}^{p}\left(4 m_{p}{ }^{2}\right)$
$>$ Near-threshold enhancement of FF
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- $J / \psi \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$ at BESIII
$>0^{-+}$state near-threshold enhancement determined
$>$ With Julich-FSI effect included.
- $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+} \bar{\Lambda}_{c}^{-}$precision measurement at BESIII
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■ Possible explanations
$>$ Tail of a narrow resonance below threshold (baryonium, meson?)
$>$ Dominance of $\pi$ exchange in final state interaction
$>$ Underestimation of the Coulomb correction factor

## The BESIII Detector and Data Samples



- Main drift Chamber (MDC) : $\sigma_{d E / d x}=6 \%, \sigma_{x y}=130 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \sigma_{p} / p=0.5 \%$
- Time of flight system (TOF): $\delta_{t, \text { barrel }}=80 \mathrm{ps}, \delta_{t, \text { endcaps }}=65 \mathrm{ps}$
- Electromagnetic Calorimeter: $\sigma_{E}=2.5 \%, \sigma_{l}=6 \mathrm{~mm}$
- Superconducting Solenoid: $\mathrm{B}=1.0 \mathrm{~T}$
- RPC muon chamber: $\delta_{R}=1.48 \mathrm{~cm}$

A new Cylindrical GEM inner tracker will be installed for BESIII!

- Data samples
$>$ Four energy points from $\sqrt{\mathrm{s}}=2.2324$ to 3.08 GeV
$>$ The total integrated luminosity is $40.8 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$
$\square$ MC simulation
$>e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ at $\sqrt{\mathrm{s}}=2.2324 \mathrm{GeV}$ with PHSP, at $\sqrt{\mathrm{s}}=2.40,2.80$ and 3.08 GeV with Conexc.
$>e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}(l=e, \mu)$ and $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ with Babayaga
$>$ hadronic final states with Lundarlw


## $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ at $\sqrt{\mathbf{s}}=2.2324 \mathrm{GeV}$

$\square$ Near threshold production $\left(2 M_{\Lambda}+1.0 \mathrm{MeV}\right)$ and small PHSP in $\Lambda / \bar{\Lambda}$ decays $>$ Indirect search for antiproton in $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{-}, \overline{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \rightarrow \overline{\boldsymbol{p}} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+}$
$\Rightarrow$ Search for mono-energetic $\pi^{0}$ in $\overline{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \rightarrow \overline{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\mathbf{0}}$

$$
\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{p} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{-}, \bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{p}} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+}
$$



$$
\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{p} \pi^{-}, \bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{n}} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}
$$



## Reconstruction of $\Lambda \rightarrow p \pi^{-}, \bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \bar{p} \pi^{+}($mode $I)$

$>$ Charged track: $\left|V_{x y}\right|<1 \mathrm{~cm},\left|V_{z}\right|<10 \mathrm{~cm},|\cos \theta|<0.93, N_{\text {chrg }}=2$
$>$ PID: $\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{dx}+\mathrm{TOF}, N_{\pi^{+}}=N_{\pi^{-}}=1$
$>$ Momentum of $\pi$ : $[0.08,0.11] \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$
$>$ Secondary particles: Select the largest $V_{x y}$ of all tracks, shows enhancement around 3 cm (interaction between $\bar{p}$ and beam pipe)
$>$ Observable: the largest $V_{x y}$, fit with MC shape



## Reconstruction of $\bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \overline{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}$ (mode II)

Charged track: $\left|V_{x y}\right|<1 \mathrm{~cm},\left|V_{z}\right|<10 \mathrm{~cm},|\cos \theta|<0.93, N_{\text {chrg }} \leq 1$
$>$ Neutral track: $E_{\text {barrel }}>25 \mathrm{MeV}, E_{\text {endcap }}>50 \mathrm{MeV}$, Angle $>10^{\circ}$
$>$ Most energetic shower as $\bar{n}$ candidate, BDT booked for $\bar{n} / \gamma$ separation
$>\pi^{0}$ reconstruction: 1 C kinematic fit on $\pi^{0}$ mass, $\frac{\left|E_{\gamma_{1}}-E_{\gamma_{2}}\right|}{p_{\pi^{0}}}<0.95, \chi_{1 C}^{2}<20$,
Angle between $\bar{n}$ and $\pi^{0}$ larger than $140^{\circ}$
$>$ Observable: the momentum of $\pi^{0}$, fit with MC shape



## $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ at $\sqrt{s}=2.40,2.80,3.08 \mathrm{GeV}$

$>$ Charged track: $\left|V_{x y}\right|<10 \mathrm{~cm},\left|V_{z}\right|<30 \mathrm{~cm},|\cos \theta|<0.93, N_{\text {chrg }}=4$
$>$ PID: $\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{dx}+\mathrm{TOF}, N_{p}=N_{\bar{p}}=N_{\pi^{+}}=N_{\pi^{-}}=1$
$>$ Secondary vertex fit applied for $\Lambda / \bar{\Lambda}$
$>$ Mass window cut $\left|M_{p \pi^{-} / \bar{p} \pi^{+}}-M_{\Lambda}\right|<0.01 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$
$>$ Opening angle between $\Lambda / \bar{\Lambda}$ in c.m.system: $\theta_{\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}}>170^{\circ}, 176^{\circ}, 178^{\circ}$ at $\sqrt{s}=2.40,2.80,3.08 \mathrm{GeV}$
$>$ Observable: $M_{\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}} / \sqrt{s}$, background free, counting method



## Cross section for $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$

$\square$ The Born cross section for $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ is determined from:

$$
\sigma^{B}=\frac{N_{o b s}}{\mathcal{L}_{i n t} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot(1+\delta) \cdot \mathcal{B}}
$$

The effective FF is defined by

$$
|G|=\sqrt{\frac{\left|G_{M}\right|^{2}+1 / 2 \tau\left|G_{E}\right|^{2}}{1+1 / 2 \tau}}=\sqrt{\frac{3 s \sigma^{B}}{4 \pi \alpha^{2} \beta} \cdot \frac{1}{1+1 / 2 \tau}}
$$

| $\sqrt{s}$ <br> $(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\mathcal{L}_{\text {int }}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{pb}^{-1}\right)$ | $N_{\text {obs }}$ | $\epsilon(1+\delta)$ <br> $(\%)$ | $\sigma^{\mathrm{B}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{pb})$ | $\|G\|$ <br> $\left(\times 10^{-2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2.2324_{1}$ | 2.63 | $43 \pm 7$ | 12.9 | $312 \pm 51_{-45}^{+72}$ |  |
| $2.2324_{2}$ | 2.63 | $22 \pm 6$ | 8.25 | $288 \pm 96_{-36}^{+64}$ |  |
| $2.2324_{c}$ |  |  |  | $305 \pm 45_{-36}^{+66}$ | $61.9 \pm 4.6_{-9.0}^{+18.1}$ |
| 2.400 | 3.42 | $45 \pm 7$ | 25.3 | $128 \pm 19 \pm 18$ | $12.7 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.9$ |
| 2.800 | 3.75 | $8 \pm 3$ | 36.1 | $14.8 \pm 5.2 \pm 1.9$ | $4.10 \pm 0.72 \pm 0.26$ |
| 3.080 | 30.73 | $13 \pm 4$ | 24.5 | $4.2 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.5$ | $2.29 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.14$ |

Two modes at $\sqrt{s}=2.2324 \mathrm{GeV}$ are combined taking into account the correlation between the uncertainties of the two decay modes

## Interpretation of line-shape

- A phenomenological fit, according to the pQCD driven energy power:

$$
\sigma^{B}=\frac{p_{1} \beta}{\left(M_{\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}}-p_{2}\right)^{10}},\left(p_{1}, p_{2} \text { are float parameters }\right)
$$

The anomalous behavior differing from the pQCD prediction at threshold is observed.



## Theoretical discussion on $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$

- The calculation on of $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ is investigated:
$>$ One-photon approximation
$>$ the effects of $\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ interaction is considered



From J. Haidenbauer, U. G. Meissner, Phys. Lett. B 761, (2016) 456-461

## Search for a related structure in $\boldsymbol{e}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}^{-} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{K}^{+} \boldsymbol{K}^{+} \boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{K}^{-}$

the Lake Louise Winter Institute 2018


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}=2234.7 \pm 2.0 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2} \\
& \Gamma=7.5_{-7.5}^{+13.5} \mathrm{MeV}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary and prospect

$>$ The cross section for $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ at $\sqrt{s}=2.2324 \mathrm{GeV}\left(2 M_{\Lambda}+1 \mathrm{MeV}\right)$ is measured to be $305 \pm 45_{-36}^{+66} \mathrm{pb}$
$>$ The observed threshold enhancement implies a more complicated underlying physics scenario.
$>$ The cross section for $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ at $\sqrt{s}=2.40,2.80$ and 3.08 GeV are consistent with $B A B A R$ and DM2 experiments.

- Topics can be explored at BESIII (on baryon pair production near threshold)

$\rightarrow$ Nucleon $(p, n)$ : ISR method by using data at $\sqrt{s} \geq 3.773 \mathrm{GeV}$
$>p N^{*}: p \bar{p} \pi^{0}$ PWA analysis with data from $\sqrt{s}=2.0$ to 3.08 GeV
$>\Sigma \bar{\Sigma}$ : scan method with data at $\sqrt{s}=2.3864,2.396 \mathrm{GeV}$
$>\Lambda \bar{\Sigma}$ : scan method with data at $\sqrt{s}=2.3094 \mathrm{GeV}$
$>E \bar{E}:$ scan method with data at $\sqrt{s}=2.6444,2.6464 \mathrm{GeV}$


## Thanks for your attention!

## Systematic uncertainty at $\sqrt{\mathrm{s}}=\mathbf{2} .2324 \mathrm{GeV}$

| Sources | Mode I | Mode II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tracking \& PID (Control sample $J / \psi \rightarrow p \bar{p} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$) | 12.3\% | - |
| Largest $V_{x y}$ sel. (Control sample $J / \psi \rightarrow p \bar{p} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$) | 0.3\% | - |
| $\bar{n}$ selection (Control sample $J / \psi \rightarrow p \bar{n} \pi^{-}$) | - | 2.2\% |
| $\pi^{0}$ selection (Control sample $\psi(3686) \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} J / \psi$ ) | - | 2.3\% |
| BDT requirement (Control sample $J / \psi \rightarrow p \bar{n} \pi^{-}$) | - | 4.8\% |
| Trigger (Weight according to $E_{\text {tot }}$ ) | - | 1.0\% |
| Fit procedure (Varying PDF and range) | 4.6\% | 8.8\% |
| ISR correction (Change line shape in generator) | ${ }_{+3.6}^{+18.5}$ |  |
| Energy spread (An alternative energy spread from $\psi$ scan) | 2.0\% |  |
| Energy measurement (determined from $J / \psi$ lineshape) | 3.9\% |  |
| Luminosity (Large-angle Bhabha events) | 1.0\% |  |
| Total | ${ }_{-14.4}^{+23.2 \%}$ | ${ }_{-12.6}^{+22.1 \%}$ |

- For the uncertainty from Energy spread and Energy measurement, large uncertainties are considered due to rapid variation of velocity $\beta$


## Systematic uncertainty at $\sqrt{\mathbf{s}}=\mathbf{2 . 4 0 , 2 . 8 0}$ and 3.08 GeV

| Sources | 2.40 GeV | 2.80 GeV | 3.08 GeV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reconstruction of $\Lambda$ |  | $3.8 \%$ |  |
| Reconstruction of $\bar{\Lambda}$ |  | $3.4 \%$ |  |
| Mass window cut of $\Lambda$ |  | $2.5 \%$ |  |
| Mass window cut of $\bar{\Lambda}$ |  | $3.0 \%$ |  |
| Angular distribution | $12.7 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ |
| ISR correction | $2.2 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Luminosity |  | $1.0 \%$ |  |
| Total | $14.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |

- The unknown $\left|G_{E} / G_{M}\right|$ ratio lead to large uncertainty of anguar distribution.


## Fit on $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$



