HVP lattice QED and strong IB corrections effects

Vera Gülpers

School of Physics and Astronomy University of Southampton

June 21, 2018

Southampton

Outline

Motivation and Introduction

Results

Outline

Motivation and Introduction

Results

HVP from the R-ratio \leftrightarrow Lattice

(published) HVP results from lattice calculations



- R-ratio $a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}} = (692.3 \pm 4.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-10}$ [Davier et al., Eur.Phys.J. C71, 1515 (2011)]
- \blacktriangleright lattice result to be competitive with R-ratio requires precision of $\lesssim 1\%$
- \blacktriangleright comparable upcoming experiment precision of $\lesssim 0.2\%$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Isospin Breaking Corrections need to be included

Sources of IB corrections

- different masses for up- and down quark (of $\mathcal{O}((m_d m_u)/\Lambda_{QCD}))$
- Quarks have electrical charge (of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$)

Status IB corrections to HVP

- ► QED and strong IB at unphysical quark masses [V.G. et al., JHEP 09, 153 (2017)]
- ► QED for s and c; extrapolated to physical masses [D. Giusti et al., JHEP 10, 157 (2017)]
- strong IB at physical (valance + sea) masses [B. Chakraborty et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 152001 (2018)]
- ► QED and strong IB at physical masses [C. Lehner, V.G. et al. arXiv:1801.07224]

Sources of IB corrections

- different masses for up- and down quark (of $\mathcal{O}((m_d m_u)/\Lambda_{QCD}))$
- Quarks have electrical charge (of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$)

Status IB corrections to HVP

- ► QED and strong IB at unphysical quark masses [V.G. et al., JHEP 09, 153 (2017)]
- ► QED for s and c; extrapolated to physical masses [D. Giusti et al., JHEP 10, 157 (2017)]
- strong IB at physical (valance + sea) masses [B. Chakraborty et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 152001 (2018)]
- ► QED and strong IB at physical masses [C. Lehner, V.G. et al. arXiv:1801.07224]



Sources of IB corrections

- different masses for up- and down quark (of $\mathcal{O}((m_d m_u)/\Lambda_{QCD}))$
- Quarks have electrical charge (of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$)

Status IB corrections to HVP

- ► QED and strong IB at unphysical quark masses [V.G. et al., JHEP 09, 153 (2017)]
- ► QED for s and c; extrapolated to physical masses [D. Giusti et al., JHEP 10, 157 (2017)]
- strong IB at physical (valance + sea) masses [B. Chakraborty et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 152001 (2018)]
- ► QED and strong IB at physical masses [C. Lehner, V.G. et al. arXiv:1801.07224]

plus work in progress

Strong IB corrections

- \blacktriangleright lattice calculations usually done with $m_u=m_d$
- different masses for up- and down quark

$$\label{eq:pdg} \text{PDG} \quad m_u = 2.2^{+0.5}_{-0.4} \text{ MeV} \qquad m_d = 4.7^{+0.5}_{-0.3} \text{ MeV} \qquad \text{ at } \overline{\text{MS}}(2 \text{ GeV})$$

separation of strong IB and QED effects requires renormalization scheme

Strong IB corrections

[F

- \blacktriangleright lattice calculations usually done with $m_u = m_d$
- different masses for up- and down quark

$$m_{u} = 2.2^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$$
 MeV $m_{d} = 4.7^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$ MeV at $\overline{\text{MS}}(2 \text{ GeV})$

- separation of strong IB and QED effects requires renormalization scheme
- strong Isospin Breaking on the lattice
 - use different up, down quark masses
 sea quark effects: configurations with different up, down masses

► perturbative expansion in
$$\Delta m = (m_u - m_d)$$
 [G.M. de Divitiis et al, JHEP 1204 (2012) 124]
 $\langle 0 \rangle_{m_u \neq m_d} = \langle 0 \rangle_{m_u = m_d} + \Delta m \frac{\partial}{\partial m} \langle 0 \rangle \Big|_{m_u = m_d}^{m_u = m_d} + O(\Delta m^2)$
sea quark effects:
quark-disconnected diagrams

QED corrections from the lattice

- \blacktriangleright same order in α as light-by-light
- Euclidean path integral including QED

$$\langle \mathbf{0} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}[\Psi, \overline{\Psi}] \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{U}] \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{A}] \ \mathbf{0} \ e^{-S_{F}[\Psi, \overline{\Psi}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{A}]} e^{-S_{G}[\mathbf{U}]} e^{-S_{\gamma}[\mathbf{A}]}$$

- Finite Volume corrections [Talk by A. Portelli]
- two approaches for including QED
 - stochastic QED using U(1) gauge configurations
 [A. Duncan, E. Eichten, H. Thacker, Phys.Rev.Lett. 76, 3894 (1996)]
 - perturbative QED by expanding the path integral in α [RM123 Collaboration, Phys.Rev. D87, 114505 (2013)]



+ tadpole contributions, + diagrams from conserved current expansion

QED corrections from the lattice

- \blacktriangleright same order in α as light-by-light
- Euclidean path integral including QED

$$\langle \mathbf{0} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}[\Psi, \overline{\Psi}] \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{U}] \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{A}] \ \mathbf{0} \ e^{-S_{F}[\Psi, \overline{\Psi}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{A}]} e^{-S_{G}[\mathbf{U}]} e^{-S_{\gamma}[\mathbf{A}]}$$

- Finite Volume corrections [Talk by A. Portelli]
- two approaches for including QED
 - stochastic QED using U(1) gauge configurations
 [A. Duncan, E. Eichten, H. Thacker, Phys.Rev.Lett. 76, 3894 (1996)]
 - perturbative QED by expanding the path integral in α [RM123 Collaboration, Phys.Rev. D87, 114505 (2013)]



+ tadpole contributions, + diagrams from conserved current expansion

QED correction to the disconnected HVP



QED correction to the disconnected HVP



careful not to double count





QED correction to the disconnected HVP



careful not to double count

gluons between the quarks lines





 \rightarrow QED correction to LO HVP

QED correction to the disconnected HVP

careful not to double count

gluons between the quarks lines



 \rightarrow QED correction to LO HVP

no gluons between the quarks lines



 \rightarrow included in NLO HVP

Outline

Motivation and Introduction

Results

Results IB corrections - Fermilab/HPQCD/MILC

- ► strong IB corrections at the physical point [B. Chakraborty et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 152001 (2018)]
- \blacktriangleright HISQ action, $32^3 \times 48$, $a \approx 0.15$ fm
- ▶ two physical mass ensembles, differ only by light sea with or without sIB

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{f}} &= 2+1+1\\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{f}} &= 1+1+1+1 \end{split}$$

where bare masses $m_\ell^{2+1+1} = (m_u + m_d)^{1+1+1+1}/2$

- allows for testing effects of IB in sea-quark
- quark mass tuning: tune quark masses to experimental values with removed QED effects [S. Basek et al.
 PoS Lattice2015, 259 (2016)]

Results IB corrections - Fermilab/HPQCD/MILC

results strong IB corrections [B. Chakraborty et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 152001 (2018)]



$\Delta^{m_u \neq m_d} a_\mu$	=	7.7(3.7)	X	10^{-10}
$\Delta^{m_u \neq m_d} a_\mu$	=	9.0(2.3)	Х	10^{-10}

 $\begin{array}{l} {\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{f}}} = 2 + 1 + 1 \\ {\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{f}}} = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 \end{array}$

sea-quark effect smaller than statistical error

 work in progress: generate dynamical QCD+QED ensemble at physical quark masses

Results IB corrections - ETMC

- ► QED corrections to strange and charm HVP [D. Giusti et al., JHEP 10, 157 (2017)]
- physical strange and charm masses; matched renormalized quark masses at MS(2 GeV) in QCD and QED+QCD

[N. Carrasco et al., Nucl.Phys. B887 (2014) 19-68, D. Giusti et al., Phys. Rev. D 95, 114504 (2017)]

- perturbative expansion in α and Δm_q
- ▶ results QED correction to integrand $(a_{\mu} = \int dt w_t C(t))$



Results IB corrections - ETMC

- ► QED corrections to strange and charm HVP [D. Giusti et al., JHEP 10, 157 (2017)]
- \blacktriangleright several ensembles: three lattice spacings, $m_\pi=210-450$ MeV



 $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \delta a^{s}_{\mu} = (-0.018 \pm 0.011) \times 10^{-10} \qquad \delta a^{c}_{\mu} = (-0.030 \pm 0.013) \times 10^{-10} \\ \bullet \ \text{negligible within current uncertainties of } a_{\mu} \end{array}$

Results IB corrections - ETMC

▶ preliminary results: IB correction to light-quark contribution [see talk by S. Simula]



• $\delta a_{\mu}^{\ell} = 6.9(1.9) \times 10^{-10}$ (strong IB and QED)

Results IB corrections - RBC/UKQCD

- ► QED and sIB corrections at physical quark masses [C. Lehner, V.G. et al. arXiv:1801.07224]
- \blacktriangleright $N_f=2+1$ Möbius DWF, $48^3\times96$ lattice, $a^{-1}=1.730(4)$ GeV
- \blacktriangleright IB corrections from perturbative expansion in α and Δm_f
- tune (u,d,s) masses to reproduce experimental π⁺, K⁺ and K₀ mass (and check π⁰ mass)
- ▶ lattice spacing: fix another mass including QED, e.g. Omega-Baryon

Results IB corrections - RBC/UKQCD

- ► QED and sIB corrections at physical quark masses [C. Lehner, V.G. et al. arXiv:1801.07224]
- \blacktriangleright $N_f=2+1$ Möbius DWF, $48^3\times96$ lattice, $a^{-1}=1.730(4)$ GeV
- \blacktriangleright IB corrections from perturbative expansion in α and Δm_f
- tune (u,d,s) masses to reproduce experimental π⁺, K⁺ and K₀ mass (and check π⁰ mass)
- ▶ lattice spacing: fix another mass including QED, e.g. Omega-Baryon
- ▶ results connected QED correction to integrand $(a_{\mu} = \int dt w_t C(t))$



Results IB corrections - RBC/UKQCD

• Ansatz for $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ -correction to correlator

$$\delta C(t) = (c_1 + c_0 t)e^{-Et}$$

- \blacktriangleright vary **E** between $\pi\gamma$ and $\pi\pi$ \rightarrow systematic error
- result connected QED correction

$${
m a}_{\mu}^{{
m QED},\ell}=$$
 5.9(5.7)(1.7) $imes$ 10^{-10}

Results IB corrections - RBC/UKQCD

• Ansatz for $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ -correction to correlator

$$\delta C(t) = (c_1 + c_0 t)e^{-Et}$$

- \blacktriangleright vary **E** between $\pi\gamma$ and $\pi\pi$ \rightarrow systematic error
- result connected QED correction

$${
m a}_{\mu}^{ ext{QED},\ell}=5.9(5.7)(1.7) imes10^{-10}$$

 QED correction to disconnected diagram using data generated for [T. Blum et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 022005 (2017)]



•
$$a_{\mu}^{\text{QED, disc}} = -6.9(2.1)(2.7) imes 10^{-10}$$

Results IB corrections - RBC/UKQCD

strong IB (connected)



 δC(t) = (c₁ + c₀t)e^{-Et} with lowest lying state ππ
 result sIB a^{sIB}_μ = 10.6(4.3)(6.8) × 10⁻¹⁰

Results IB corrections - RBC/UKQCD

strong IB (connected)



 δC(t) = (c₁ + c₀t)e^{-Et} with lowest lying state ππ
 result slB a^{slB}_{''} = 10.6(4.3)(6.8) × 10⁻¹⁰

Work in progress

- re-use LbL data to [+ M. Bruno]
 - increase statistics for QED diagrams
 - calculate the QED-unquenched diagrams
- strong IB: effects from sea quark mass shift, second lattice spacing
- second lattice spacing for QED corrections

Estimate IB corrections - BMW

- phenomenology estimate for IB effects [BMW collaboration, arXiv:1711.04980]
- estimate of different missing contributions

	$\ell = e [\times 10^{-14}]$	$\ell = \mu [\times 10^{-10}]$	$\ell = \tau \; [\times 10^{-8}]$
$\pi^0 \gamma$	1.05 ± 0.04	4.64 ± 0.04	1.77 ± 0.07
$\eta\gamma$	0.14 ± 0.00	0.65 ± 0.01	0.29 ± 0.01
$\rho - \omega$ mixing	0.74 ± 0.37	2.71 ± 1.36	0.72 ± 0.36
FSR	1.17 ± 0.59	4.22 ± 2.11	1.40 ± 0.70
M_{π} vs $M_{\pi\pm}$	-1.45 ± 1.45	-4.47 ± 4.47	-0.83 ± 0.83
total	1.7 ± 1.6	7.8 ± 5.1	3.4 ± 1.1

Estimate IB corrections - BMW

- phenomenology estimate for IB effects [BMW collaboration, arXiv:1711.04980]
- estimate of different missing contributions

	$\ell = e [\times 10^{-14}]$	$\ell = \mu [\times 10^{-10}]$	$\ell = \tau \; [\times 10^{-8}]$
$\pi^0 \gamma$	1.05 ± 0.04	4.64 ± 0.04	1.77 ± 0.07
$\eta\gamma$	0.14 ± 0.00	0.65 ± 0.01	0.29 ± 0.01
$\rho - \omega$ mixing	0.74 ± 0.37	2.71 ± 1.36	0.72 ± 0.36
FSR	1.17 ± 0.59	4.22 ± 2.11	1.40 ± 0.70
M_{π} vs $M_{\pi\pm}$	-1.45 ± 1.45	-4.47 ± 4.47	-0.83 ± 0.83
total	1.7 ± 1.6	7.8 ± 5.1	3.4 ± 1.1

comparison plot [L. Lellouch]



Estimate IB corrections - BMW

- phenomenology estimate for IB effects [BMW collaboration, arXiv:1711.04980]
- estimate of different missing contributions

	$\ell = e [\times 10^{-14}]$	$\ell = \mu [\times 10^{-10}]$	$\ell = \tau \; [\times 10^{-8}]$
$\pi^0 \gamma$	1.05 ± 0.04	4.64 ± 0.04	1.77 ± 0.07
$\eta\gamma$	0.14 ± 0.00	0.65 ± 0.01	0.29 ± 0.01
$\rho - \omega$ mixing	0.74 ± 0.37	2.71 ± 1.36	0.72 ± 0.36
FSR	1.17 ± 0.59	4.22 ± 2.11	1.40 ± 0.70
M_{π} vs $M_{\pi\pm}$	-1.45 ± 1.45	-4.47 ± 4.47	-0.83 ± 0.83
total	1.7 ± 1.6	7.8 ± 5.1	3.4 ± 1.1

comparison plot [L. Lellouch]



 \rightarrow shift from IB corrections

Outline

Motivation and Introduction

Results

Summary

- \blacktriangleright Lattice calculation with precision of $\lesssim 1\%$ require inclusion of IB and QED
- first calculations of IB Breaking effects ightarrow IB corrections at level of 1%
 - ► Fermilab/HPQCD/MILC: strong IB quenched: $7.7(3.7) \times 10^{-10}$ strong IB unquenched: $9.0(2.3) \times 10^{-10}$
 - ETMC (preliminary): QED (quenched) + strong IB (quenched): 6.9(1.9) × 10-10
 - $\label{eq:rescaled_$
- ightarrow possible within $\lesssim 1\%$ precision of total $\mathbf{a}_{\mu}^{\mathsf{HVP}}$
- ► FV effects for the QED correction [see talk by A. Portelli]
- unquenched QED?

Outline

Motivation and Introduction

Results

Backup

Backup

Backup

Muon \mathbf{a}_{μ} and the hadronic vacuum polarisation (HVP)

experiment: polarized muons in a magnetic field [Bennet et al., Phys.Rev. D73, 072003 (2006)]

$$a_{\mu} = 11659208.9(5.4)(3.3) imes 10^{-10}$$

Standard Model [PDG]

 $a_{\mu} = 11659180.3(0.1)(4.2)(2.6) \times 10^{-10}$

- Comparison of theory and experiment: ${f 3.6\sigma}$ deviation
- largest error on SM estimate from HVP



▶ current best estimate from $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons [Davier et al., Eur.Phys.J. C71, 1515 (2011)] (692.3 ± 4.2 ± 0.3) × 10⁻¹⁰ Backup

tadpole contributions to QED correction

expand path integral expansion [RM123 Collaboration, Phys.Rev. D87, 114505 (2013)]

$$\langle \mathbf{0} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{0} \rangle_{0} + \frac{1}{2} e^{2} \left. \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial e^{2}} \left\langle \mathbf{0} \right\rangle \right|_{e=0} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{2})$$

HVP from vector-vector correlation function

$$\mathsf{C}_{\mu
u}(\mathsf{x}) = \langle \mathsf{V}_{\mu}(\mathsf{x})\mathsf{V}_{
u}(\mathsf{0})
angle$$

conserved vector current depends on link variables

$$U_{\mu}(x) \rightarrow e^{ieA_{\mu}(x)}U_{\mu}(x) \quad \text{and thus} \quad V_{\mu}^{c}(x) \rightarrow V_{\mu}^{c,e}(x)$$