

LAPTh

First constraints on axion-like particles from Galactic sub-PeV gamma rays

based on arXiv:2204.12487, submitted to Phys. Rev. D In collaboration with F. Calore (CNRS, LAPTh)

Christopher Eckner (LAPTh, CNRS) — Mainz, 8th August 2022— —17th Patras Workshop on Axions, WIMPs and WISPs—

Credit: P. Oesch/University of Geneva; M. Montes/University of New South Wales/ESA/NASA ESA, Planck

Why sub-PeV gamma rays?

Gamma-ray indirect searches for exotic physics means finding a tiny signal in a sea of astrophysically produced gamma rays.

The sub-PeV range ([10,1000] TeV) is devoid of most of the extragalactic contributions known at lower energies.

The reason: absorption on the extragalactic background light (EBL)

mixture of radiation fields, e.g.: light from stars/galaxies,

light re-radiated after absorption by dust



- At sub-PeV energies, Galactic physics probably only major contributor.
- ✦ Exotic physics, especially feebly interacting particles as ALPs, circumvent EBL absorption. —> May give rise to extragalactic gamma-ray contribution.

Current instruments

- ✦ The frequency of gamma rays decreases with increasing energy, hence detectors must cover large areas to gather sufficient statistics —> Limits us to ground-based observations.
- Indirect detection and reconstruction of primary cosmic rays via secondary particles of the triggered extensive air shower.
- Current experimental setup: Array of scintillators and water tanks to measure the properties



[A. Nayerhoda+, Front. Astron. Space Sci., 2018]



Patras 2022

Christopher Eckner, <u>eckner@lapth.cnrs.fr</u>

Gamma rays from the Galactic disc < 1PeV

- ✦ HAWC, Tibet ASg and LHAASO are located on the northern hemisphere.
 - -> Galactic centre only visible at large zenith angles (deteriorates particle reconstruction
 - quality) / measurement of diffuse Galactic emission along the disc possible.
- ✦ Large instantaneous field of view (more than 45°) and long duty-cycle.



Patras 2022

Connecting neutrinos and axion-like particles

Illustration inspired by: M. Meyer

Credit: IceCube collaboration

FCUBE

1450 m

2450 m

2820 m

Digital Optical Module (DOM) IceTop ____

IceCube

droc

- Charged very high energy cosmic rays (e.g., protons) are copiously produced in distant galaxies (> 1 PeV).
- They scatter on intergalactic medium (pp-interaction) and radiation fields ($p\gamma$ -interaction).
- Both interaction types produce neutrinos, which are not affected by the EBL or CMB.

prototypica

-10/m

From astrophysical neutrinos to axion-like particles

Illustration inspired by: M. Meyer

$\gamma_{\rm EBL}$ $\gamma_{\rm CMB}$

• $p\gamma$ -interactions can also produce gamma rays, which get absorbed by the EBL or CMB.

Patras 2022



Credit: HAWC collaboration

From astrophysical neutrinos to axion-like particles

Illustration inspired by: M. Meyer



[[]A. Ambrosone+, MNRAS 503 (2021) 3]

$\gamma_{\rm EBL}$ $\gamma_{\rm CMB}$

 Gamma rays from *pp*-interactions are less energetic and can saturate the extragalactic emission measured by Fermi-LAT.

Credit: HAWC collaboration

From astrophysical neutrinos to axion-like particles



- \bullet They travel unimpeded through the universe.
- Within the Milky Way's magnetic field B_{MW} they reconvert to gamma rays.
- We consider only $p\gamma$ plus energy cutoff at 25 TeV to evade Fermi-LAT constraints.

GALACTIC MAGNETIC FIELD

 Mixing in coherent component of B field

Position of source will determine γ -ray yield

Two state-of-the-art models implemented

0.50

Conversion probability P

(1)

0.50 Conversion probability P_a $g_{a_{\chi}} = 5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$

pure ALP beam propagating through entire Milky Way Jansson & Farrar 2012 model

MW

Credit: HAWC collaboration

Illustration inspired by: M. Meyer

Patras 2022

Christopher Eckner, eckner@lapth.cnrs.fr

Deriving the in situ gamma-ray spectrum

• Gamma rays and neutrinos generated by $p\gamma$ -interactions are linked via:

$$E_{\nu}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}E_{\nu}} \left(E_{\nu} = \frac{E_{\gamma}}{2} \right) = \frac{3}{2} E_{\gamma}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}E_{\gamma}}$$

Adopt best-fitting power law for IceCube neutrino flux + break at low energies:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}E_{\nu}} = N_0 \left[\left(\frac{E_{\nu}}{E_b}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{E_{\nu}}{E_b}\right)^{2\alpha} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \alpha = 2.87 \quad \text{[IceCube collab., PRD 104 (2021) 022002]}$$

- Fix breaking energy at
 E_b = 25 TeV; consistent with
 IceCube HESE and Cascade
 data + Fermi-LAT IGB.
- In situ neutrino spectrum normalisation N₀ via matching with IceCube measurement:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}E_{\nu}} = \frac{c}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}E_{\nu}'} (1+z) \dot{\rho}_{*}(z) \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}z} \right| \,\mathrm{d}z$$

star formation rate density taken from [H. Yuksel, APJ L. 683 (2008)]



Christopher Eckner, <u>eckner@lapth.cnrs.fr</u>

ALP-photon conversion in magnetic fields

- ✦ Photons and axion-like particles may mix in the presence of magnetic fields when coupled.
 - E.g.: Minimal scenario

$$\mathcal{L}_{a\gamma} = -\frac{1}{4} g_{a\gamma} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} a = g_{a\gamma} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} a$$

$$g_{a\gamma} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{1}{f_a} \mathcal{M}$$

$$decay$$

$$a = \frac{a}{2\pi} \frac{1}{f_a} \mathcal{M}$$

$$decay$$

$$a = \frac{a}{2\pi} \frac{1}{f_a} \mathcal{M}$$

✦ For highly relativistic ALPs, the equations of motion can be formulated in a Schrödinger-like propagation equation (ignoring γ-absorption; ω−frequency of photon-ALP state):

← Effect of Faraday rotation is usually neglected ($\Delta_R = 0$) so that we obtain a mixing of the photon state parallel to the transversal component of the magnetic field and ALPs.

ALP-photon conversion in magnetic fields

✦ Matrix elements relevant for mixing require knowledge of environmental properties:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega + \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\perp} & \Delta_{R} & 0 \\ \Delta_{R} & \Delta_{\parallel} & \Delta_{a\gamma} \\ 0 & \Delta_{a\gamma} & \Delta_{a} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{\perp} \\ A_{\parallel} \\ a \end{pmatrix} = 0 \qquad \qquad \Delta_{a} = -\frac{m_{a}^{2}}{2\omega} \qquad \qquad \Delta_{B} = \frac{24\alpha^{2}\rho_{B}}{135m_{e}^{4}}\sin^{2}\theta\omega \\ \Delta_{a\gamma} = \frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}}{2}|B_{T}| \qquad \qquad \Delta_{\gamma\gamma} \approx \frac{44\alpha^{2}\omega}{135m_{e}^{2}}\sum_{i}\rho_{\gamma}^{i} \\ Magnetic field strength and orientation: B, \sin\theta \\ local electron density: n_{e} \qquad \qquad fields: \rho_{\gamma} \end{bmatrix}$$

 ✦ B-field coherent over typical length scale L_{dom}, orientation changes from domain to domain -> solve propagation for each domain and iteratively apply outcome on initial state via



transfer matrices.

domain approximation

Deriving the axion-like particle contribution

- Photon-ALP mixing in star-forming galaxies according to transfer matrix method implemented in gammaALPs. [M. Meyer+, "gammaALPs" (2021)]
- ♦ Average over multiple realisations of galaxies at given redshift Z. [H. Vogel+, arXiv:1712.01839 (2017)]



How do we observe such an A

determine **y**-ray yield

0.75

1.00

ALP-photon conversion in the Milky Way's magnetic field Two state-of-the-art

• k

Position of source will

determine **y**-ray yield

Two state-of-the-art

models implemented

- In an external magn photons states perp direction.
- The probability of survive to the magnetic field plane B_T .

[Kartavtsev et al., JCAP 01 (2017) 024

 ~ 0.015

(assuming a homogen

CAMAGNETI



0.00

0.25

 $\mathrm{d}E$

0.50

Conversion probability Pas

 $g_{a_{y}} = 5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$

pure ALP beam

propagating through entire Milky Way

[Jansson & Farrar 2012 model]

Galactic astrophysics at the sub-PeV scale

- Assume that only Galactic astrophysics generates the observed sub-PeV gamma-ray emission along the Galactic disc.
- Known bright localised sources already subtracted: Remaining two contributions
 (i) interstellar emission (IE) and
 (ii) sub-threshold point-like and extended sources.

- Large-scale emission from very-high-energy cosmic ray interactions with particles of the interstellar medium, structure roughly follows gas and radiation distribution in Milky Way.
- Spatially dependent cosmic-ray diffusion coefficient better reflects the data. (In line with independent studies and models.)
- Adopt two models shown on the right, tuned to LHAASO, Tibet ASg, *Fermi*-LAT +++
 uncertainty of constraints w.r.t. IE



Galactic astrophysics at the sub-PeV scale

- Assume that only Galactic astrophysics generates the observed sub-PeV gamma-ray emission along the Galactic disc.
- Known bright localised sources already subtracted: Remaining two contributions
 (i) interstellar emission (IE) and
 (ii) sub-threshold point-like and extended sources.
 - We adopt the model of <u>[V. Vecchiotti+, arXiv e-prints: 2107.14584]</u> with modified parameters which yields the number of sources per unit volume and luminosity:

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}^3 r \,\mathrm{d}L_{\mathrm{TeV}}} = \rho(\mathbf{r}) \times \mathcal{L}(L_{\mathrm{TeV}})$

pulsar spatial distribution in Milky Way [D. R. Lorimer+, MNRAS 3721,138 777 (2006)] parametric fit matching H.E.S.S. Galactic plane survey results

Assumption: TeV sub-threshold sources mostly pulsar wind nebulae with common average spectrum:

$$\varphi(E) = K_0 \left(\frac{E}{1 \text{ TeV}}\right)^{-\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{E}{E_c}\right)$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \beta = 2.6 \ -> \ \mathrm{average\ index\ of\ known\ TeV-bright\ sources\ (TeVCat)} \\ E_c = 300\ \mathrm{TeV}\ -> \ \mathrm{ensures\ non-detection\ of\ photons\ by\ Tibet\ AS\gamma} \\ & \ \mathrm{beyond\ 400\ TeV\ associated\ to\ localised\ sources} \end{array}$

✦ The sub-threshold flux follows by integrating out the spatial dependence and a change of variables $L_{\text{TeV}} = 4\pi d^2 \Phi_{\text{TeV}} \langle E \rangle$

$$\Phi^{\text{sTH}}(E) = \varphi(E) \int_{0}^{S_{\text{TH}}} \Phi_{\text{TeV}} \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\text{TeV}}} \,\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\text{TeV}} \longrightarrow \qquad \text{What is the detection threshold} \\ \text{of HAWC and Tibet AS} \gamma?$$

Galactic astrophysics at the sub-PeV scale

- Assume that only Galactic astrophysics generates the observed sub-PeV gamma-ray emission along the Galactic disc.
- Known bright localised sources already subtracted: Remaining two contributions
 (i) interstellar emission (IE) and
 (ii) sub-threshold point-like and extended sources.
 - Tibet ASγ reports 37 sub-PeV gamma-ray events from stacking the emission seen from the direction of all known TeV-bright sources in its region of interest.
 - most optimistic interpretation: 1 photon from each of the 37 brightest sources
 - Collect spectral information for all these
 - sources from TeVCat (<u>http://tevcat2.uchicago.edu/</u>)
 - Impose cutoff at 300 TeV (no matter what).
 - Find 37 brightest sources among them $-> S_{\rm TH} \approx 10\%$ Crab flux (> 100 TeV).



✦ For HAWC: Take flux of faintest detected source that has been subtracted from the data set —> $S_{\text{TH}} = 2\%$ of the Crab flux (10 - 100 TeV).

Statistical framework

♦ We combine the diffuse measurement of Tibet ASγ and HAWC in a joint binned likelihood analysis such that: $\ln \mathscr{L} \propto \chi^2$.

$$\chi_j^2(\theta) = \sum_k \frac{\left(\Phi_k^{\text{ALP}}(\theta) + \Phi_k^{\text{IE}}(\theta) + \Phi_k^{\text{sTH}}(\theta) - \Phi_{j,k}\right)^2}{\sigma_{j,k}^2}$$

 HAWC provides the measurement in form of a power-law fit, hence we bin the functional parameterisation and its uncertainty.

NOTE: The astrophysical components are also affected by the presence of a non-vanishing coupling to ALPs
 -> In the Galactic magnetic field, locally generated gamma rays may convert into ALPs thus reducing the naive model expectations.

Results

- The astrophysical contribution to the physics probed by both instruments is already sufficient to explain the measurements.
 - -> Plotted spectra for $g_{a\gamma\gamma} \equiv 0$.



 Little space for an additional exotic component: For 100 neV axion-like particle this scenario translates to an upper limit on the photon-ALP coupling (at a 95% confidence level) of (using the maximal scenario for IE)

$$g_{a\gamma\gamma} \lesssim 2.1 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$$

Discussion

Uncertainty due to modelling of interstellar emission:



- —> Uncertainty between minimal and maximal IE around a factor of 1.5
- –> Even in minimal scenario, competitive constraints.
- -> ALPs-only constraints worse by a factor of 3.

Uncertainty due to redshift-dependence of magnetic fields in star-forming galaxies:



- —> Formation and evolution of galactic magnetic fields is a subject of ongoing theoretical and experimental research [T. G. Arshakian+, A&A 494, 21 (2009)]
- —> Increase of field strength with redshift by no means necessary.
- —> What happens if it stays constant? Factor of ~1.5 deterioration of limits (since we are not very sensitive to the high-z sky).

What about LHAASO in the end?

- ✦ We did not consider LHAASO in our study because of the inaccessible(?)/insufficient public information about its derivation (source mask, background vs. signal events).
- + However, the LHAASO data cover both the HAWC and Tibet AS γ energy range.



If we try to digitise the ICRC mask and only account for IE (no data about det. threshold) we find (see also [L. Mastrototaro+, arXiv e-Print:2206.08945] for a similar approach).



Christopher Eckner, <u>eckner@lapth.cnrs.fr</u>

20

Summary

- Sub-PeV gamma rays provide a unique way to search for exotic physics due to the limited list of potential astrophysical contributors.
- The astrophysical neutrino flux measured by IceCube is tied to a concomitant gamma-ray flux that is mostly attenuated on the extragalactic background light.
- We impose that star-forming galaxies are responsible for the observed IceCube neutrino flux via pγ-interactions, which consequently leads to an axion-like particle flux due to *in situ* photon-ALP conversions.
- Given the observed star-formation rate density evolution in the universe, we derive the cumulative isotropic ALP flux from neutrino-generating star-forming galaxies as well as the associated gamma-ray flux due to re-conversion in the magnetic field of the Milky Way.
- Based on realistic models for interstellar emission and sub-threshold source populations in the Milky Way, we quantify the expected astrophysical contribution to the sub-PeV measurements of the Galactic diffuse emission seen by HAWC and Tibet ASγ.
- ★ From the HAWC and Tibet ASγ data sets we derive competitive upper limits on the photon-ALP coupling constant g_{aγγ} for ALP masses m_a ≤ 2 × 10⁻⁷ eV at a 95% confidence level, thus progressively closing the mass gap towards ADMX limits.